



Astroparticle physics I – Dark Matter

Winter term 23/24 Lecture 12 Dec. 14, 2023



www.kit.edu

Recap of Lecture 11

Neutrino properties: kinematic measurements & search for $0\nu\beta\beta$ – decay

- *KATRIN* experiment: precision scan of β decay endpoint at $E_0 = 18.6 \ keV$
- combining an ultra–luminous molecular T_2 source with a MAC E filter

- direct kinematic experiments: **incoherent** mass sum $m(v_e) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^3 |U_{ei}|^2 \cdot m_i^2}$

- search for decay $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay: violation of L number with $\Delta L = 2$
- exchange of virtual Majorana $-\nu$: coherent sum $m_{\beta\beta}$, unknown CP phases

- all $\beta\beta$ – isotopes are gg – nuclei: investigations using ^{76}Ge , ^{136}Xe , ^{130}Te



Recap of Lecture 11



Hunting for $0\nu\beta\beta$ – decay events: passive *vs.* active techniques

electrons leaving foil are detected via **ionisation signal** in a **TPC***



passive target = thin foil

¹⁰⁰*Mo*

electrons result in an **ionisation signal**, or a **phonon signal** in a quantum sensor



Search for $0\nu\beta\beta$ – decay: exp. observable $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$



From the observed events N_{ev} to the half-life $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the $0v\beta\beta$ – isotope

$$N_{ev} \sim \left(t_{1/2}^{0v\beta\beta}\right)^{-1} \cdot N_{mol} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot t \qquad \text{measuring time } t$$
observed $0v\beta\beta$ – events
or statistical upper limit
(95% C.L.)
$$deduced \\ half-life t_{1/2} \\ or upper limit (95% C.L.)$$

$$\varepsilon \leq 1$$

4 Dec. 14, 2023 G. Drexlin – ATP-1 #12 *1 $mole = 6.022 \cdot 10^{23}$ (atoms)

Search for $0\nu\beta\beta$ – decay: exp. observable $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$



From the observed events N_{ev} to the half-life $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the $0v\beta\beta$ – isotope





How do I optimize my $0\nu\beta\beta$ – set–up to be better than my competitors?

$$t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta}\sim a\cdot\sqrt{\frac{M\cdot t}{\Delta E\cdot B}}$$

$$t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta}$$
: half–life (limit) for $0\nu\beta\beta$

a: fraction of $\beta\beta$ – isotope used in set–up (natural fraction, or enrichment grade)

M: mass of target in set-up ΔE : energy resolution at endpoint (*Q* - value)

t: measuring time with set-up *B*: background rate (*events* $keV^{-1} kg^{-1} yr^{-1}$) in region close to Q - value



How do I optimize my $0\nu\beta\beta$ – set–up: use of a highly enriched $\beta\beta$ – target!

$$t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta}\sim a \cdot \sqrt{\frac{M\cdot t}{\Delta E\cdot B}}$$

a: fraction of $\beta\beta$ – isotope used in set–up

- $t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta}$ scales linearly with a
- considerable cost factor (no longer possible in Russian plants)



enrichment of ¹³⁶Xe



How do I optimize my $0\nu\beta\beta$ – set–up: use of a huge target mass!

$$t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta}\sim a\cdot\sqrt{\frac{\cancel{M}\cdot t}{\Delta E\cdot B}}$$

M: mass of target in set-up

- $t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta}$ scales only with \sqrt{M}
- often in a modular set-up, 3> can be scaled up
- in mid-term future we aim for a ${}^{76}Ge$ experiment of target-mass of M = 1 ton





How do I optimize my $0\nu\beta\beta$ – set–up: use of an extended exposure

$$t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta}\sim a\cdot\sqrt{\frac{M\cdot t}{\Delta E\cdot B}}$$

 $M \cdot t$: **exposure** of set-up (in $kg \cdot yr$)

- $t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta}$ scales only with $\sqrt{M \cdot t}$
- typcial experimental time scales $t = 1 \dots 10 yr$
- long time scales t only useful if background rate B is small* (due to fluctuations!)



Search for $0\nu\beta\beta$ – decay: sharp energy resolution structure of technology

How do I optimize my $0\nu\beta\beta$ – set–up: use of a high–resolution detector

$$t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta}\sim \alpha \cdot \sqrt{\frac{M\cdot t}{\Delta E\cdot B}}$$

∆*E*: energy resolution of set–up (in *keV*)

- $t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta}$ scales only with $\sqrt{\Delta E}$



energy (keV)

- goal: use sharp ΔE (~ $few \, keV$) to discriminate $0\nu\beta\beta$ from $2\nu\beta\beta$
- sharp *AE* requires state—of—the—art electronics & *DAQ* systems

Search for $0\nu\beta\beta$ – decay: background shielding



How do I optimize my $0\nu\beta\beta$ – set–up: use of advanced shielding concepts

$$t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta}\sim a\cdot\sqrt{\frac{M\cdot t}{\Delta E\cdot B}}$$

B: background rate of set—up in (*events* $keV^{-1}kg^{-1}yr^{-1}$)

- $t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta}$ scales only with \sqrt{B}
- goal: use optimum shielding method (see ch. 2.2.2)
- combine passive elements (Cu) with active elements (μ veto)







- discriminate single-site event $(0\nu\beta\beta)$ from multi-site (Compton e^- from $\gamma's$)

Search for $0\nu\beta\beta$ – decay: total background rate B

a rare event search for $0\nu\beta\beta$ – decay: reduction of **B**

- the actual background rate has a major impact on the sensitivity
- case 1: no background (B = 0)linear scaling of sensitivity with exposure $M \cdot t$
- case 2: non-zero background (B > 0) scaling of sensitivity with exposure only as $\sqrt{M \cdot t}$ due to **Poisson fluctuations** of background rate **B**



$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *Heidelberg* – *Moscow*

A pioneering effort at LNGS (1990 – 2003) with target mass M = 11 kg

- operation of 5 enriched Ge – diodes with enrichment grade a = 0.86 (86%)

at Gran Sass





$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *Heidelberg* – *Moscow* claim **S**

• A pioneering effort at LNGS (1990 – 2003) with target mass M = 11 kg

- analysis of final data set <u>without</u> blinding of the signal region at Q - value



- (private) analysis performed by *PI**
 <u>after</u> calibrated energy data were available
- $0\nu\beta\beta$ events expected at an energy $E_0 = (2038.7 \pm 0.44) keV$

$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *Heidelberg* – *Moscow* claim **S**

A pioneering effort at LNGS (1990 – 2003) with target mass M = 11 kg

- analysis of final data set <u>without</u> blinding of the signal region at Q - value



- (private) analysis performed by **PI** <u>after</u> calibrated energy data were available
- $0\nu\beta\beta$ events expected at an energy $E_0 = (2038.7 \pm 0.44) keV$
- highly controversial claim for $0\nu\beta\beta$ signal with $N_{ev} = (28.75 \pm 6.86)$ events ($\equiv 4.2 \sigma$)
- this result has **not been confirmed** by later experiments (today: **blind** analysis methods)

$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: blind analysis method



Current state—of—the art analysis methods: blocking of signal region

- analysis of final data set <u>with</u> blinding of the signal region at Q value
- test of background model outside of signal region: does it describe data?





$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *MAJORANA*

Overview & shielding concept

- 'conventional' set-up with ultra-clean
 - *Cu* holders (cooling of semi–conductors)





$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *MAJORANA*

Experimental result & future plans

- set—up with M = 44 kg of enriched ⁷⁶Ge M = 15 kg of natural Ge
- no signal events observed
- published 2021 limit on $0\nu\beta\beta$ half-life of ^{76}Ge

$$t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta} > 39.9 \cdot 10^{23} yr (90\% C.L.)$$

- *MAJORANA* (*US* project) will merge with *GERDA* (*EU* project) into *LEGEND*





$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *GERDA*

The GER manium Detector Array – novel technologies

- novel design based on 'naked' Ge diodes housed in large–volume liquid–argon cryostat (surrounded by a large–scale water Cherenkov detector at RT*)
- site: *LNGS*, hall *A* (3400 *m*.*w*.*e*.)
- novel (improved) shielding concept based on:
 - avoid any structural materials in close proximity to Ge diodes, plus rigorous material selection
 - active μ -veto-detector with LAr = Liquid Argon





$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *GERDA*





$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *GERDA* phase *II*

Set—up: modifications for further background reduction

- novel element:

Ge – diodes surrounded by
a) nylon bag against Ar – ions
b) integrated: fibres with WLS*
& readout by Si – PMTs

strings with 41 Ge – diodes:
 35.6 kg enriched ⁷⁶Ge
 7.6 kg natural Ge







$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *GERDA* phase *II*

Significant improvements of sensitivity

- energy resolution: $\Delta E \sim 3.0 \ keV$
- measurements from 12/2015 11/2019
- corresponding exposure $M \cdot t = 127.2 \ kg \cdot yr$
- achieved (world–leading!) background rate B = 0.00052 events $keV^{-1}kg^{-1}yr^{-1}$

$$t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta} > 1.8 \cdot 10^{26} yr (90\% C.L.)$$

nylon b<u>ag</u> against ions





$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *GERDA* phase *II*



Significant improvements of sensitivity



- 14 strings with ⁷⁶Ge – diodes

total mass $M = 200 \ kg$

- expected sensitivity $(1 ton \cdot yr)$:

$$t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta} > 1 \cdot 10^{27} yr (90\% C.L.)$$

vity $(1 ton \cdot yr)$:

$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *LEGEND*

GERDA and MAJORANA merge to LEGEND: the 'ultimate' step







27 Dec. 14, 2023 G. Drexlin – ATP-1 #12

$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *LEGEND*

LEGEND – 1000: the 'ultimate' sensitivity

- final stage: *LEGEND* - 1000
- strings with ${}^{76}Ge$ diodes total mass M = 1000 kg
- expected sensitivity after an exposure of $M \cdot t = 10 ton \cdot yr$:

$$t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta} > 1 \cdot 10^{28} yr (90\% C.L.)$$

LEGEND-1000





$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *CUORE*





- The coldest heart in the universe: CUORE the Cryogenic Underground Observatory for Rare Events
 - final stage:
 988 TeO₂ bolometers
 in 19 towers
 - total mass M = 754 kgthereof ¹³⁰*Te*: M = 206 kg
 - Q value: 2.572 MeV
 - massive shielding outside of cryostat via Roman Lead



$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *CUORE*



TeO₂ - **bolometers**: a novel technology to observe $0\nu\beta\beta$ - events

- **bolometer**: low-temperature detector (crystal) operated at T = 6 mK
- radiation ($\beta\beta$, ...) leads to local energy deposition in a crystal
 - ⇒ small increase of the detector temperature T
 - ⇒ read–out of ∆*T* via quantum sensor (thermistor*)



$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: *CUORE*

- *TeO*₂ bolometers: results
- bolometer energy resolution $\Delta E = 5 \ keV$





CERN COURIER

Nov 27, 2014

CUORE has the coldest heart in the known universe

The CUORE collaboration at the INFN Gran Sasso National Laboratory has set a world record by cooling a copper vessel with the volume of a cubic metre to a temperature of 6 mK. It is the first



The CUORE experiment

experiment to cool a mass and a volume of this size to a temperature this close to absolute zero. The cooled copper mass, weighing approximately 400 kg, was the coldest cubic metre in the universe for more than 15 days. No experiment on Earth has ever cooled a similar mass or volume to temperatures this low. Similar conditions are also not expected to arise in nature.

CUORE - which stands for Cryogenic Underground Observatory for Rare Events, but is also Italian for heart - is an experiment being built by an international collaboration at Gran Sasso to study the properties of neutrinos and search for rare processes, in particular the hypothesized neutrinoless doublebeta decay. The experiment is designed to work in ultra-cold conditions at temperatures of around 10 mK. It consists of tellurium-dioxide crystals serving as bolometers, which measure energy by recording tiny fluctuations in the crystal's temperature. When complete, CUORE will contain some 1000 instrumented crystals and will be covered by shielding

$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: from $t_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu\beta\beta}$ to $m_{\beta\beta}$



How does my half—life value transform into the Majorana neutrino mass?

- we need nuclear theory (maxtrix elements $M_{F,GT}^{0\nu\beta\beta}$) to obtain this, uncertainties for various nuclei typically are very large (up to $\sim 200 \%$)



$0\nu\beta\beta$ – experiments: from $t_{1/2}^{0\nu\beta\beta}$ to $m_{\beta\beta}$

- How large is the effective Majorana neutrino mass $m_{\beta\beta}$?
 - comparison of a typical upper limit from experiment on $m_{\beta\beta}$

$$\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{3} |U_{ei}|^2 \cdot m_i \cdot e^{i\alpha_i} \right|$$

to different theoretical models of neutrino masses:

- NH Normal Hierarchy: $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$
- IH Inverted Hierarchy: $m_3 < m_{1.2}$





Neutrino physics: a most fascinating topic...



Many fundamental open questions in neutrino physics remain !

Higgs-mechanism vs. see-saw, Lepton number violation?



are there right-handed neutrinos?



Info-Graphic by Sandbox Studio, Chicago with Corinne Mucha

How do neutrinos get their mass?

06/09/20 | By Jessica Romeo

Neutrinos don't seem to get their mass in the same way as other particles in the Standard Model.





CHAPTER 4 – DARK MATTER

Exp. Particle Physics - ETP

4.1 Introduction



Evidences for Dark Matter (DM) from cosmology & astrophysics

- cosmology*: physics of the early universe, analysis of *CMB*, structure formation
- astrophysics: galaxy clusters, rotation curves of galaxies
- evidences for *DM* are (up to now) only based on its gravitational action due to Newtonian gravity
- possible (but rather unlikeky) alternative: theories based on
 MOdified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND)
- searches for *DM* in astroparticle physics: particle interaction with nucleons/electrons, annihilation, or *DM* production (*LHC*)



Searches for Dark Matter



■ *DM* − Triangle



Evidences for Dark Matter: cosmology



Signature of DM in the 3K cosmic background radiation (CMB)

- CMB shows characteristic, very small temperature fluctuations ΔT
- multipole analysis reveals distinct peaks (#1, #2, #3, ...)
- ratio of peak height #2 : #3 gives $\Omega_{DM} \sim 0.27$
- popular scenario:
 DM production in the very early universe by thermal processes



Evidences for Dark Matter: cosmology

D*M* fraction Ω_{DM} relative to the overall matter-/energy- budget

- ACDM 'concordance' model of cosmology consists of (beyond baryons):
 - a) dark matter Ω_{DM} : non-baryonic component with Newtonian gravitational attraction cosmological density ~ 1 GeV/m³
 - b) dark energy Ω_V : component due to properties of vacuum (Einstein's famous cosmological constant)
- DM: large local overdensities (e.g. center of Milky Way)





Evidences for Dark Matter: simulations

Iarge-scale distribution of (cold) Dark Matter: evolution over time & space

- details are provided by large-scale *N* **body simulations**
- filament-like DM structures
- galaxy clusters at intersections
 of *DM* filaments
- simulations in agreement with large-scale galaxy surveys
- dominant form of *DM* is **cold** (i.e. non-relativistic)





Evidences for Dark Matter

Iarge-scale distribution of (cold) Dark Matter: evolution over time & space

- details are provided by large-scale *N* **body simulations**
- state-of-the-art code: *Illustris* (2015)
- $19 \cdot 10^6 \ CPU$ hours



- updated code: *Illustris TNG* (release 2018)
- updated codes: *Millennium TNG TNG –* Cluster (11/2023)



[2311.06338] Introducing the TNG-Cluster Simulation: overview and physical properties of the gaseous intracluster medium (arxiv.org)

Exp. Particle Physics - ETP



Evidences for Dark Matter: F. Zwicky

Fritz Zwicky: DM via the Virial theorem

- galaxy clusters: gravitationally bound ('virialised') systems with relation $E_{kin} = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot E_{grav}$

DM

- the peculiar velocities v
 of galaxies in a cluster (along the line—of—sight) are too large
 - ⇒ ´missing mass´
- Dark Matter comprises ~90% of entire mass of a galaxy cluster (1933)



Die Rotverschiebung von extragalaktischen Nebeln von F. Zwicky. (16. II. 33.)



Evidences for Dark Matter: V. Rubin

Vera Rubin: DM via galactic rotation curves

- all galaxies show a **flat profile** of the rotation speed of their stars v_{rot} from center to the outer edge
- modelling requires the existence of a
 'Dark Halo' (due to DM particles)
- density distribution $\rho_{DM}(r)$ of DM (important for direct/indirect detection):

$$M(r) \sim r \Rightarrow \rho_{DM}(r) \sim 1/r^2$$





Evidences for Dark Matter: V. Rubin

Vera Rubin: DM via galactic rotation curves

- all galaxies show a **flat profile** of the rotation speed of their stars v_{rot} from center to the outer edge
- modelling requires the existence of a
 'Dark Halo' (due to DM particles)
- density distribution $\rho_{DM}(r)$ of DM (important for direct/indirect detection):

 $M(r) \sim r \Rightarrow \rho_{DM}(r) \sim 1/r^2$



Local Density of Dark Matter

How many Dark Matter particles are in my cup of coffee today?

- let's use the rotation speed $v_{rot,sun} = 230 \ km/s$ of the sun at our radius $r_{sun} = 8 \ kpc$ in the galaxy to calculate it

$$\frac{v_{rot,sun}^2}{r} = \frac{G \cdot M_r}{r^2}$$

with DM – halo mass $M_r = {}^4/_3 \cdot \pi \cdot \rho \cdot r^3$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_{DM,local} = 3 \cdot v_{rot,sun}^2 / 4 \cdot \pi \cdot r_{sun}^2 \cdot G$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_{DM,local} = 0.3 \ GeV/cm^3$$

44







Local Density of Dark Matter revealed



There is about 1 Dark Matter particle (WIMP*) of 50 GeV in my coffee cup

- let's use the rotation speed $v_{rot,sun} = 230 \ km/s$ of the sun at our radius $r_{sun} = 8 \ kpc$ in the galaxy to calculate it

$$\frac{v_{rot,sun}^2}{r} = \frac{G \cdot M_r}{r^2}$$

with DM – halo mass $M_r = {}^4/_3 \cdot \pi \cdot \rho \cdot r^3$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_{DM,local} \sim 10^5 \rho_{DM,universe}$$
$$\Rightarrow \rho_{DM,local} = 50 \ GeV/150 \ cm^3$$

M = 50 GeV