Prof. Dr. M. Garst Dr. J. Masell Dr. S. Sorn

Condensed Matter Theory 1 — Exercise 13

Winter term 2023/24

https://ilias.studium.kit.edu/goto.php?target=crs_2219528 To be discussed on: *Thursday 2024/02/08*

1. Fermionic Bogoliubov transformation

In the context of the BCS theory, we encountered a Hamiltonian with *anomalous* terms consisting of two fermionic creation and annihilation operators. Such terms violate the U(1) gauge symmetry of the Hamiltonian. Such Hamiltonians can be diagonalized with the help of a Bogoliubov transformation, which will be considered in some detail in this exercise.

a) Consider fermionic creation and annihilation operators c_{σ}^{\dagger} and c_{σ} , where spin up (\uparrow) or down(\downarrow) is denoted as $\sigma = +1$ or $\sigma = -1$, respectively. New fermionic operators a_{σ} and a_{σ}^{\dagger} can be introduced via the Bogoliubov transformation

$$c_{\sigma} = \alpha_{\sigma} a_{\sigma} + \beta_{\sigma} a_{-\sigma}^{\dagger} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{\sigma}^{\dagger} = \alpha_{\sigma}^* a_{\sigma}^{\dagger} + \beta_{\sigma}^* a_{-\sigma} , \qquad (1)$$

or, equivalently, for the Nambu spinor

$$\vec{c} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} c_{\uparrow} \\ c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} a_{\uparrow} \\ a_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} \equiv U \vec{a} , \qquad (2)$$

where the matrix U is simply related to the coefficients α_{σ} and β_{σ} . By using the fermionic operator algebra, show that this matrix U is a unitary matrix, i.e., $U^{\dagger}U = \mathbb{1}$.

b) Consider a system of fermions described by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \varepsilon \left(c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{\uparrow} + c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow} \right) - \Delta c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} - \Delta^{*} c_{\downarrow} c_{\uparrow} , \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}, \Delta \in \mathbb{C} .$$
(3)

Using Nambu spinors rewrite the Hamiltonian in matrix form, $\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{c}^{\dagger}H\vec{c} + h$ and determine the matrix H and the constant h. Diagonalize the matrix H with the help of the Bogoliubov transformation and compute the eigenenergies.

Bonus: The eigenenergies come in pairs $\pm E$. This is related to the particle-hole symmetry $\tau^y H^* \tau^y = -H$, where τ^y is a Pauli matrix. Why?

2. Bosonic Bogoliubov transformation

In this exercise, we consider bosonic operators and examine the bosonic version of a Bogoliubov transformation.

a) Consider bosonic creation and annihilation operators d^{\dagger} and d. New bosonic operators

are introduced via the bosonic Bogoliubov transformation

$$d = \alpha b + \beta b^{\dagger}$$
 and $d^{\dagger} = \alpha^* b^{\dagger} + \beta^* b$, (4)

or, equivalently,

$$\vec{d} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} d \\ d^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} = B \begin{pmatrix} a \\ a^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} \equiv B \vec{a} , \qquad (5)$$

where the matrix B is related to the coefficients α and β . Using the bosonic operator algebra, show that the matrix B fulfils the relation $B^{\dagger}\tau^{z}B = \tau^{z}$, where τ^{z} is the Pauli matrix, i.e., B is not unitary.

b) Consider the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \varepsilon d^{\dagger}d - \frac{\Delta}{2}d^{\dagger}d^{\dagger} - \frac{\Delta^{*}}{2}dd , \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}, \Delta \in \mathbb{C} .$$
(6)

Rewrite the Hamiltonian in matrix form, $\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{d}^{\dagger}H\vec{d} + h$, and determine the matrix H and the constant h. Diagonalize the matrix H with the help of the bosonic Bogoliubov transformation and determine the eigenenergies.

Hint: The diagonalization requires to choose the coefficients of the matrix B such that $B^{\dagger}HB = D$ becomes a diagonal matrix D. Alternatively, you might want to use that $\tilde{B}^{-1}\tau^z H\tilde{B} = D\tau^z$ where $\tilde{B} = B\tau^z$ and $\tilde{B}^{-1} = B^{\dagger}\tau^z$.

Bonus: The diagonal matrix D is proportional to the unit matrix D = E1. Why?

3. Superconductors and Coulomb interaction

In this exercise we investigate a minimal extension to the BCS theory of superconductivity that was discussed in the lecture. As a generalization of the BCS model we introduce a momentum dependent coupling V_{kp} and consider the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{k,\sigma} \xi_k c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma} + \sum_{k,k'} V_{k'k} c^{\dagger}_{-k'\downarrow} c^{\dagger}_{k'\uparrow} c_{k\uparrow} c_{-k\downarrow}.$$

a) Apply a mean-field approximation to the above Hamiltonian and write it in terms of the now momentum dependent order parameter

$$\Delta_k = \sum_p V_{kp} \langle c_{p\uparrow} c_{-p\downarrow} \rangle.$$

and the Nambu spinors $\vec{\psi}_k = (c_{k\uparrow}, c^{\dagger}_{-k\downarrow})^T$. Calculate its eigenenergies. Before you start, convince yourself that for H to be hermitian it must hold $V_{kp}^* = V_{pk}$.

b) In the lecture, the gap equation was derived self-consistently for $T \neq 0$. Repeat this derivation for the new Hamiltonian and show that the gap equation has the form

$$\Delta_k = -\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} V_{kp} \frac{\tanh\left(\frac{E_p}{2k_BT}\right)}{2E_p} \Delta_p,$$

where E_p is the eigenenergy of the mean-field Hamiltonian.

c) In the BCS model the coupling V_{kp} was approximated by a constant attractive potential below the Debye frequency $(|\xi_k|, |\xi_p| < \omega_D)$. In the lecture, this was motivated by

overscreening from electron-phonon interaction. However, revisiting the results from the lecture you may notice that above ω_D the effective potential is still repulsive. Therefore, we introduce a repulsive interaction V, representing Coulomb repulsion, below some large cut-off frequency $\omega_C > \omega_D$, such that

$$V_{kp} = -g\Theta(\omega_D - |\xi_k|)\Theta(\omega_D - |\xi_p|) + V\Theta(\omega_C - |\xi_k|)\Theta(\omega_C - |\xi_p|)$$

where Θ is the Heavyside-function. It is then convenient to write the gap, which is of course still constant on the respective intervals, as

$$\Delta_k = \begin{cases} \Delta_{<} & \text{if } |\xi_k| < \omega_D, \\ \Delta_{>} & \text{if } \omega_D < |\xi_k| < \omega_C, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Find the critical temperature for this model.

Background information: The resulting formula for T_c is a special case of McMillan's formula¹, derived from the linearized Eliashberg equations. In the original form, McMillan's formula has three parameters: the electron phonon coupling strength λ , the Coulomb pseudo-potential μ^* and the average phonon frequency $\langle \omega \rangle$,

$$rac{T_c}{\omega_D} \propto \exp\left(-rac{1+\lambda}{\lambda-\lambda\mu^*rac{\langle\omega
angle}{\omega_D}-\mu^*}
ight)\,.$$

Making the simplifying assumption $\langle \omega \rangle = \omega_D$ and identifying $\lambda = \frac{g\nu}{1-g\nu}$ and $\mu^{*-1} = (V\nu)^{-1} + \ln \frac{\omega_C}{\omega_D}$, where $\nu = \nu(E_F)$ is the density of states at the Fermi level, you should obtain the result from the exercise.

¹W. L. McMillan, "Transition temperature of strong-coupled superconductors", Phys. Rev. 167 (1968), pp. 331–344. DOI:10.1103/PhysRev.167.331