

## Exercise B.1: Unitarity in $\nu \nu \rightarrow WW$ scattering

Consider the production of longitudinally polarized  ${\cal W}$  bosons from neutrino-antineutrino annihilation

$$\nu_e(\vec{p}_1) \ \overline{\nu}_e(\vec{p}_2) \ \to \ W_0^+(\vec{p}_3) \ W_0^-(\vec{p}_4) \,,$$
 (1.1)

where the subscript 0 indicates that we are considering longitudinally polarized W bosons.

- (a) Draw the (two) Feynman diagrams that describe this process at tree-level in the Standard Model. For a complete list of Feynman rules in the Standard Model, use arxiv.org/abs/1209.6213.<sup>1</sup>
- (b) Write down the scattering amplitude. Check that it is given by the sum of the following two terms:

$$\mathcal{M}_{s} = \frac{-e^{2}}{4\sin^{2}\vartheta_{W}} \frac{1}{s - m_{Z}^{2}} \left[ \overline{v}(\vec{p}_{2})\gamma_{\alpha}(1 - \gamma_{5})u(\vec{p}_{1}) \right] \Gamma^{\mu\nu\alpha} \epsilon^{*0}_{\ \mu}(\vec{p}_{3})\epsilon^{*0}_{\ \nu}(\vec{p}_{4}) , \qquad (1.2)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{t} = \frac{-e^{2}}{4\sin^{2}\vartheta_{W}} \frac{1}{t} \left[ \overline{v}(\vec{p}_{2})\gamma^{\nu}(\not\!\!p_{1} - \not\!\!p_{3})\gamma^{\mu}(1 - \gamma_{5})u(\vec{p}_{1}) \right] \epsilon^{*0}_{\ \mu}(\vec{p}_{3})\epsilon^{*0}_{\ \nu}(\vec{p}_{4}), \qquad (1.3)$$

where  $\vartheta_W$  is the Weinberg mixing angle,  $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2$ ,  $t = (p_1 - p_3)^2$ , and all the leptons are massless. Calculate the explicit expression  $\Gamma^{\mu\nu\alpha}$ .

The longitudinal polarization of an on-shell gauge boson with mass m is defined as

$$\epsilon^{0,\mu}(\vec{k}) = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} |\vec{\beta}|\\ \hat{\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (1.4)$$

with

$$\vec{\beta} = \frac{\vec{k}}{k^0}, \qquad \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \vec{\beta}^2}}, \qquad \hat{\beta} = \frac{\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\beta}|}$$
(1.5)

(c) Show that in the center of mass frame the longitudinal polarizations of the W bosons can be written as

$$\epsilon^{*0,\mu}(\vec{p}_3) = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2m_W} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_W^2}{s}} \\ \sin\vartheta \\ 0 \\ \cos\vartheta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \epsilon^{*0,\mu}(\vec{p}_4) = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2m_W} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_W^2}{s}} \\ -\sin\vartheta \\ 0 \\ -\cos\vartheta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.6)$$

Here  $\vartheta$  is defined as the scattering angle between the incoming neutrino  $\nu_e$  and the outgoing  $W^+$  in the center of mass frame.

15 P

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>There are codes that determine the Feynman diagrams contributing to n amplitude, e.g. qgraf.

An explicit representation of a Dirac fermion is given by

$$u^{+}(\vec{p}) = \frac{\not p + m}{\sqrt{p^{0} + m}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad u^{-}(\vec{p}) = \frac{\not p + m}{\sqrt{p^{0} + m}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (1.7)$$

$$v^{+}(\vec{p}) = \frac{\not p - m}{\sqrt{p^{0} + m}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad v^{-}(\vec{p}) = \frac{\not p - m}{\sqrt{p^{0} + m}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (1.8)$$

with the gamma matrices in the Dirac representation

$$\gamma^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{2\times 2} & 0\\ 0 & -\mathbb{I}_{2\times 2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma^{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^{k}\\ -\sigma^{k} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma^{5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I}_{2\times 2}\\ \mathbb{I}_{2\times 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.9}$$

and the Pauli matrices  $\sigma^k$ .

(d) Use this representation to check that the two scattering amplitudes  $\mathcal{M}_{s,t}$  behave like  $\mathcal{M}_{s,t} \sim s$  in the limit  $s \to \infty$ .

**Hint:** Show that  $\epsilon^{*0,\mu}(\vec{p}_{3,4}) \sim p_{3,4}^{\mu}/m_W$  for  $s \to \infty$ .

(e) How does the total amplitude  $\mathcal{M}_s + \mathcal{M}_t$  behave in the limit of high energies? Interpret your result.

## Exercise B.2: Massive QED & Stückelberg Mechanism 5 P

Consider QED with only one fermion  $\psi$  and the photon field A according to the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}} = \overline{\psi}(i\not\!\!D - m)\psi - \frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (2.1)$$

with

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + ieA_{\mu}, \qquad F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}A^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}A^{\mu}, \qquad (2.2)$$

where the fields transform as

$$\psi(x) \to e^{i\alpha(x)}\psi(x), \qquad A_{\mu} \to A_{\mu} - \frac{1}{e}\partial_{\mu}\alpha(x).$$
(2.3)

(a) Explicitly show that  $\mathcal{L}_{QED}$  is gauge invariant, i.e. does not change if the above transformation is applied. On the other hand, show that the term

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{m^2}{2} A^{\mu} A_{\mu} \tag{2.4}$$

is not invariant.

Gauge invariance can be achieved by adding to  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}}$  a term of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm S} = \frac{m_S^2}{2} \left( A^{\mu} + \frac{1}{m_S} \partial^{\mu} \sigma \right) \left( A_{\mu} + \frac{1}{m_S} \partial_{\mu} \sigma \right), \qquad (2.5)$$

with an additional scalar field  $\sigma$ .

https://ilias.studium.kit.edu/goto.php?target=crs\_2213233&client\_id=produktiv page 2 of 3

(b) How must  $\sigma(x)$  transform so that  $\mathcal{L}_{S}$  is gauge invariant? The additional term

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm G} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} (\partial^{\mu} A_{\mu} + m_S \xi \sigma)^2 , \qquad (2.6)$$

with  $\xi > 0$ , is required for a complete theory.

(c) What constraint should  $\alpha$  satisfy to have a gauge invariant  $\mathcal{L}_{G}$ ?