

## II.3 Electrodynamics

Maxwell's equations

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \rho \qquad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} - \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \vec{j}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \qquad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = 0$$

$\vec{E}, \vec{B}$  can be expressed through 4-potential

$$A^\mu = (\phi, \vec{A}) \quad \text{with} \quad \partial^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t \\ -\vec{\nabla} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}, \quad \vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla} \phi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$

$$B^i = -\epsilon_{ijk} \partial^j A^k \qquad E^i = \partial^i A^0 - \partial^0 A^i$$

e.g.:  $B^1 = -\partial^2 A^3 + \partial^3 A^2$

→ fields can be collected in  
electromagnetic tensor  $F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu$

(antisymmetric:  $F^{\mu\nu} = -F^{\nu\mu}$ )

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_x & -E_y & -E_z \\ E_x & 0 & -B_z & B_y \\ E_y & B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ E_z & -B_y & B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

⇒ The inhomogeneous Maxwell's equations can then be written as

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = j^\nu, \quad \text{with } j^\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \vec{j} \end{pmatrix}$$

homogeneous Maxwell's equations:

$$\partial_\mu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \text{with } \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\rightarrow \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu \partial_\alpha A_\beta = 0$$

→ always satisfied if  $A_\alpha$  are regular functions.

## Gauge invariance

$F^{\mu\nu}$  is invariant under gauge transformations

$$A^\mu \rightarrow A'^\mu = A^\mu + \partial^\mu \lambda(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow F'^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu (A^\nu + \partial^\nu \lambda) - \partial^\nu (A^\mu + \partial^\mu \lambda) \\ &= \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu = F^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$  redundancies when writing electromagnetic field using 4-potential  $A^\mu$

4 degrees of freedom, but only  
2 physical d.o.f. of EM waves / photons

(more details: sect. II.5)

To obtain the correct number of d.o.f.,  
we can fix the gauge with additional  
gauge conditions,

## Typical choices:

Lorenz gauge:  $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$   
Ludwig Lorenz

→ Lorentz invariant  
Hendrik Lorentz

removes only 1 d.o.f.

Radiation / Coulomb gauge:  $A^0 = 0$   
 $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0$

→ removes 2 d.o.f.,  
implies Lorenz gauge  
breaks Lorentz invariance

In Lorenz gauge, Maxwell's equations simplify:

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu A^\nu - \underbrace{\partial_\mu \partial^\nu A^\mu}_{=0} = \square A^\nu = j^\nu$$

For  $j^\nu = 0$  (i.e. without sources) we obtain  
a massless Klein-Gordon eq. for each  $\nu$ :

$$\square A^\nu = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$  plane wave solutions

$$A^\nu \sim \epsilon^\nu e^{-ikx} + \text{cc.}, \quad k^2 = 0$$

with polarization vector  $\epsilon^\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_0 \\ \vec{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix}$

In Coulomb gauge:  $\epsilon_0 = 0$ ,  $\vec{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{k} = 0$

$\rightarrow$  polarizations transverse to momentum  $\vec{k}$

Lagrangian density of the el.-magn. field

coupling to external current  $j^\mu$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - j_\mu A^\mu$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) (\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu) - j_\mu A^\mu$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} [(\partial_\mu A_\nu) (\partial^\mu A^\nu) - (\partial_\nu A_\mu) (\partial^\mu A^\nu)] - j_\mu A^\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)} = -\partial^\mu A^\nu + \partial^\nu A^\mu = -F^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\nu} = -j^\nu$$

$\Rightarrow$  Euler-Lagrange eq.

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = j^\nu$$

One possible source of the EM field can be the current of a Dirac field  $\psi$  with charge  $e$

$$j^\mu = e \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$$

$\Rightarrow$  Lagrangian density of Quantum electrodynamics

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}} &= \bar{\psi} i \not{\partial} \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - e A_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \\ &= \bar{\psi} \left[ (i \partial_\mu - e A_\mu) \gamma^\mu - m \right] \psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}} = \bar{\psi} (i \not{D} - m) \psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}$$

with the covariant derivative

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ie A_\mu$$

⇒ This corresponds to minimal coupling in classical electrodynamics via the substitution

$$p^\mu \rightarrow p^\mu - e A^\mu$$

local U(1) invariance

We consider the variation of  $\mathcal{L}_{QED}$  under the simultaneous transforms

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi' = e^{-ie\lambda(x)} \psi$$

$$A^\mu \rightarrow A'^\mu = A^\mu + \partial^\mu \lambda(x) \quad \leftarrow \text{gauge transform.}$$

Terms of  $\mathcal{L}_{QED}$ :

- $F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$  is invariant under these transformations

- For the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} (i \not{\partial} - m) \psi$$

of the free Dirac field, we found

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \mathcal{L} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\mu} \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi & \alpha(x) &= e \lambda(x) \\ &= e (\partial_\mu \lambda) \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \end{aligned}$$

In QED, this term is cancelled by the transformation of the

- interaction term

$$-e A_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \rightarrow -e (A_\mu + \partial_\mu \lambda) \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}} = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$  The gauge freedom of the EM field results in a local  $U(1)$  symmetry of QED.

Similarly, local  $SU(2)$  and  $SU(3)$  symmetries will lead to the weak & strong interactions.

## II.4. Lie groups

A group is a set  $G = \{g_i\}$  together with an operation  $\circ$ , with the properties

- $g_1, g_2 \in G \Rightarrow g_1 \circ g_2 \in G$
- $\exists e \in G$  with  $e \circ g = g \circ e = g \quad \forall g \in G$
- $\forall g \in G \quad \exists g^{-1}$  with  $g \cdot g^{-1} = g^{-1} \cdot g = e$
- $(g_1 \circ g_2) \circ g_3 = g_1 \circ (g_2 \circ g_3)$

If in addition

$$g_1 \circ g_2 = g_2 \circ g_1 \quad \forall g_1, g_2 \in G$$

the group is called abelian.

A representation  $R$  maps each group element  $g$  to a  $n \times n$  matrix  $D_R(g)$   
 $n$ : dimension of repr.  $R$

$$g \rightarrow D_R(g)$$

with  $D_R(e) = \mathbb{1}$

$$D_R(g_1 \circ g_2) = D_R(g_1) \cdot D_R(g_2)$$

→ Each group element „represented“ by a matrix, such that matrix multiplication preserves group properties.

Two representations  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are equivalent if there exists a matrix  $A$ , such that

$$D_2(g) = A^{-1} D_1(g) A \quad \forall g \in G$$

→ this corresponds to a basis change

A representation is reducible if it is equivalent to a representation in block-diagonal form:

$$D(g) \rightarrow A^{-1} D(g) A = \begin{pmatrix} D^{(1)}(g) & 0 \\ 0 & D^{(2)}(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$ 
 $\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$

$k$  components
 $n-k$  compon.

with matrices  $D^{(1)}(g)$ ,  $D^{(2)}(g)$

If a representation is not reducible, it is called irreducible.

example:  $\vec{S} = \vec{S}_1 + \vec{S}_2$  acting on 2 spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  particles

$\vec{S} |s_1 s_2\rangle$ ,  $S_i$  are not block diagonal in basis  $(|++\rangle, |+-\rangle, |-+\rangle, |--\rangle)$

basis change  $|s_1, s_2\rangle \rightarrow |s, m_s\rangle$

$$\rightarrow S_i = \begin{pmatrix} S_i^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & S_i^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $3 \times 3$  matrices  $S_i^{(1)}$  acting on triplet states  $(|1, 1\rangle, |1, 0\rangle, |1, -1\rangle)$ ,

$1 \times 1$  matrix  $S_i^{(0)}$  acting on singlet state  $|0, 0\rangle$

$\rightarrow \vec{S}$  is reducible, can be decomposed into 2 irreducible representations

$S^{(1)}$ ,  $S^{(0)}$ , acting on the subgroups with  $s=1$ ,  $s=0$ , respectively.

$$\frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} = 0 \oplus 1$$