

Verantwortlich für Vorlesung bzw. Computerpraktikum: Dr. P. Goldenzweig, P.-D. Dr. R. Wolf, Dr. S. Stefkova Tutoren: Dr. G. De Pietro, Dr. R. Quishpe, Dr. S. Mitra, G. Heine, A. Brusamolino, T. Voigtländer

Computerpraktikum zur Vorlesung Moderne Methoden der Datenanalyse - Blatt 7

Exercise 7: Confidence intervals

In the exercises of this sheet we consider a measured sample of events after applying quality cuts to separate signal from background events. The total number of observed events follows a Poisson distribution:

$$P(n_0|\nu_t) = \frac{\nu_t^{n_0} e^{-\nu_t}}{n_0!},\tag{1}$$

 n_0 is the number of observed events after the quality cuts, and $\nu_t = \nu_{t,S} + \nu_{t,B}$ is the true number of events expected to pass the cuts, where $\nu_{t,S}$ is the contribution from true signal events and $\nu_{t,B}$ the background remaining after the cuts.

• Exercise 7.1: Significance

For a specific experiment, the background is expected to be $\nu_{t,B} = 1$ while the measurement is $n_0 = 3$.

- a) How often do you expect to measure n_0 or more events if you expect only background?
- **b**) What is the corresponding significance¹?
- c) Is the measurement compatible with a statistical fluctuation of the background, or is there an evidence (defined as significance $\geq 3\sigma$) or a discovery of the signal ($\geq 5\sigma$)?
- d) How many events would have to be observed to reach the evidence and discovery thresholds?
- Exercise 7.2: Confidence intervals
 - a) Frequentist approach (Neyman construction) This classical approach can be used to determine a confidence interval or lower/upper limit, respectively, at a given confidence level (CL).

voluntary

obligatory

¹In physics, the significance is often expressed as "the number of sigmas" of a Gaussian distribution (= Z-value) corresponding to the p-value of observing a given signal under the hypothesis that there is only background. You can use, e.g., the function scipy.stats.norm.ppf for the calculation.

First, let us assume that the background is negligible: $\nu_{t,B} = 0$. Using the frequentist approach, we will compute a 90% CL upper limit on $\nu_t = \nu_{t,S}$ if the measured number of events is $n_0 = 3$.

Please inspect the related functions provided in the notebook for this exercise sheet. They implement the following confidence level definitions:

$$CL_{SB} = \sum_{n=0}^{n_0} P(n|\nu_{t,S} + \nu_{t,B}),$$
 (2)

$$CL_B = \sum_{n=0}^{n_0} P(n|\nu_{t,B}), \qquad (3)$$

$$CL_S = CL_{SB}/CL_B, (4)$$

as well as the function get_x. The latter allows to determine a confidence limit using the definitions above. Use these predefined functions to solve the following part of the exercise.

• Determine the 90 % CL upper limit ν_{UL} such that:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{n_0} P(n|\nu_{UL}) = 0.10.$$
(5)

- Now, consider the realistic case with background, i.e., $\nu_{t,B} > 0$:
 - ♦ Compute the 90% CL upper limits on $\nu_{t,S}$ as function of $\nu_{t,B}$. Assume values for the expected number of background events to be between 0 and 7. By convention, the upper limit on $\nu_{t,S}$ is the limit which would be obtained for $\nu_{t,S}$ without background minus the number of expected background events, i.e., $\nu_{t,B}$, $(CL_{SB}\text{-limit})$. This is implemented in the function get_upper_poisson_limit with $\nu_{t,B} > 0$.

 CL_{SB} is the confidence level with respect to the signal-plus-background hypothesis and a measure for the compatibility of the experiment with this hypothesis. What makes this procedure inconvenient?

- ♦ Make a plot of the CL_{SB} -limit on $\nu_{t,S}$ as a function of $\nu_{t,B}$ varying n_0 from 0 to 5 (draw all six curves in the same plot).
- ♦ Using the predefined function get_upper_poisson_limit_normalized you can calculate the limit using the CL_S -method: $CL_S = CL_{SB}/CL_B$. CL_B is a measure for the compatibility with the background-only hypothesis. The CL_S method provides a useful limit, even if the number of observed events is much smaller than the expected background. Compute the 90% CL upper limits on $\nu_{t,S}$ now using this method and again as function of $\nu_{t,B}$ with $\nu_{t,B} \in [0,7]$.
- ♦ Also plot the CL_S -limit on $\nu_{t,S}$ as a function of $\nu_{t,B}$ varying n_0 from 0 to 5.

b) Likelihood approach

The likelihood function for a Poisson process for one single measurement is:

$$L(n_0|\nu_t) = \frac{\nu_t^{n_0} e^{-\nu_t}}{n_0!}.$$
(6)

where $n_0 = 3$ is the number of measured events.

- Draw the curve $-2 \ln L$ as function of ν_t , performing a scan over a meaningful range of values. Where is the minimum, $-2 \ln L_{min}$, of this curve?
- Calculate the boundaries of the confidence interval at 68% CL. These are the points for which $2 \cdot \Delta \ln L = 1$, where $\Delta \ln L$ is defined as $\ln L_{min} \ln L$.
- Determine the 90% CL upper limit, i.e. the point with $\nu_t > n_0$ for which $2 \cdot \Delta \ln L = (1.28)^2$.

<u>Note</u>: To translate a CL into the proper $\Delta \ln L$ cut for a **one-sided** interval, the following relation is used:

$$2 \cdot \Delta \ln L = (\sqrt{2} \cdot \operatorname{erfinv}(2 \cdot \operatorname{CL} - 1))^2, \tag{7}$$

where erfinv is the inverse of the error function provided for instance by the scipy method scipy.special.erfinv. The term which is passed on as argument to the erfinv function is equal to $1 - 2 \cdot (1 - CL) = 2 \cdot CL - 1$.

• Plot the likelihood function and the convidence intervals of interest.

c) Bayesian approach

The Bayesian posterior probability $P(\nu_t|n_0)$ is given by the Bayes theorem:

$$P(\nu_t|n_0) = \frac{L(n_0|\nu_t) \ \Pi(\nu_t)}{\int_{\text{all }\nu_t} L(n_0|\nu_t) \ \Pi(\nu_t) d\nu_t}.$$
(8)

 $\Pi(\nu_t)$ is called the prior probability on ν_t and describes our prior belief about the distribution of this parameter. Try two different priors and compare the results:

- (i) $\Pi(\nu_t) = const.$ for $\nu_t > 0$ and 0 otherwise,
- (ii) $\Pi(\nu_t)$ proportional to $1/\nu_t$ for $\nu_t > 0$ and 0 otherwise.
 - Compute and draw the posterior probability for $n_0 = 3$ and $\nu_{t,B} = 0$.
 - \circ What are the 90% credibility upper limits?

Finally, compare the upper limits of the methods a), b), c) for $n_0 = 3$ and $\nu_{t,B} = 0$.