

Particle Physics 1 Lecture 20: Higgs

Dr. Thorsten Chwalek (thorsten.chwalek@kit.edu), Institute of Experimental Particle Physics (ETP) Prof. Dr. Torben FERBER (torben.ferber@kit.edu, he/him), Institute of Experimental Particle Physics (ETP) Winterterm 2023/2024

KIT – Die Forschungsuniversität in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

Questions from past lectures

Learning goals

- Understand vacuum stability
- Understand experimental steps towards the Higgs discovery
- Get an overview of state-of-the art Higgs parameters

Reminder: Higgs mechanism

Elektroweak theory (Glashow, Salam, Weinberg):

- Gauge theory: postulate local symmetry under gauge group $SU(2)L \times U(1)Y$ \rightarrow four massless gauge bosons and their interactions
- Contradiction to experimental results: electromagnetic U(1) symmetry conserved (\rightarrow massless photons), but three massive gauge bosons W^{\pm} , Z
- Conflict resolved via electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB)
- EWSB in the standard model: spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) via "Brout-Englert-Higgs mechanism"
 - SSB: ground state ("vacuum") does not show symmetries of Lagrangian

Source: Latham Boyle, CC4.0

Nobel Prize 2013

Francois Englert

Born: 6 November 1932, Etterbeek, Belgium

"for the theoretical discovery of a mechanism that contributes to our understanding of the origin of mass of subatomic particles, and which recently was confirmed through the discovery of the predicted fundamental particle, by the ATLAS and CMS experiments at CERN's Large Hadron Collider"

Peter Higgs

Born: 29 May 1929, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom

Particle Physics 1

aiaa 1

Reminder: Higgs mechanism

Elektroweak theory (Glashow, Salam, Weinberg):

- Gauge theory: postulate local symmetry under gauge group $SU(2)L \times U(1)Y$ \rightarrow four massless gauge bosons and their interactions
- Contradiction to experimental results: electromagnetic U(1) symmetry conserved (\rightarrow massless photons), but three massive gauge bosons W^{\pm} , Z
- Contradiction to experimental results: fermions are massive
- Conflict resolved via electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB)
- EWSB in the standard model: spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) via "Brout-Englert-Higgs mechanism"
 - SSB: ground state ("vacuum") does not show symmetries of Lagrangian

Reminder: Higgs mechanism

EWSB in the standard model:

- Add new complex scalar field to Lagrangian: $\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = (D^{\mu}\phi)^{\dagger}(D_{\mu}\phi) - V(\phi) = |D_{\mu}\phi|^2 - V(\phi)$
- Potential invariant under gauge transformations: $V(\phi) = \mu^2 |\phi|^2 + \lambda |\phi|^4$
- Expansion around new ground after EWSB:

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \phi^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \\ \phi_3 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix} \to \phi_{vac} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v + i\phi_2 \\ v + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

- v is the vacuum expectation value (VEV)
- H(x) is the physical higgs boson (neutral scalar parti

is field
$$*\phi - \lambda(\phi^*\phi)^2$$

ticle, $J^P = 0^+$

$$= 0 \Rightarrow |\phi| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{für } \mu^2 > 0 \\ \hline & \hline \end{pmatrix}$$

Reminder: Boson and fermion masses

- Gauge boson masses from coupling to Higgs field: $\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial^{\mu} H) (\partial_{\mu} H) + \frac{1}{4} g^2 (v+H)^2 W^{+}$
 - $\rightarrow \frac{1}{4}g^2v^2W^{+,\mu}W^{-}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}(g^2 + g'^2)v^2Z^{\mu}Z_{\mu} =$

$$\mathcal{L} = -c_d \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{d}_L (v+H) d_R + \bar{d}_R (v+I) d_R + \bar{d}_R$$

Conceptually similar, but more complicated for quark masses (CKM matrix...)

$$^{\mu}W_{\mu}^{-} + \frac{1}{2}(g^{2} + g^{\prime 2})(v + H)^{2}Z^{\mu}Z_{\mu} - V(\phi)$$

$$= m_W^2 W^{+,\mu} W_{\mu}^- + \frac{1}{2} m_Z^2 Z^{\mu} Z_{\mu}$$

Fermion masses from Yukawa coupling with $m_d = c_d \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$:

- $-H)d_L)$
- $H(\bar{d}_L d_R + d_R d_L)$

Vacuum expectation value (vev)

Experimentally from charged-current processes at low energies:

•
$$m_W^2 = \frac{g^2 v^2}{4} \to v^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}G_F} \approx (246 \text{GeV})^2$$

- Compare "contact interaction" with W-boson exchange

 $)^2$

most precise measurement from muon lifetime $~\propto {1\over G_F^2}$ up to correction of m_μ/m_W

How many free parameters does the standard model have?

Covered in TP1 so far:

- 3 couplings constants (g, g', g_s) or ($a_{QED}(0)$, $a_s(m_Z)$, G_F) and running of couplings
- mz
- 3 rotation angles and one CP violating phase (CKM matrix)
- CP violating phase θ in QCD (strongly limited by not observing a neutron EDM)
- W mass
- Quark masses (top is special since it decays before hadronization)
- Missing so far:
 - Higgs mass
 - Neutrino masses
 - Neutrino 3 rotation angles and one CP violating phase (PMNS matrix)

Other predictions of the Standard Model

- Shape of the Higgs potential and running Higgs self-coupling λ , remember: $v = \sqrt{-\mu^2/\lambda}$ with $m_H = \sqrt{-2\mu^2}$
- Higgs coupling to all fermions, bosons, and itself (if all masses are known)
- Spin and parity of the Higgs boson

Higgs boson couplings

PINGO: Higgs coupling

- couple to directly?
 - Strange quarks (second generation)
 - Top quarks (third generation)
 - Electrons
 - Gluons
 - Neutrinos
 - Anti-Neutrinos
 - Photons

Which of the elementary particles listed below can the Higgs boson not

PINGO: Higgs coupling

- couple to directly?
 - Strange quarks *
 - Top quarks
 - Electrons *
 - hypercharge)
 - Neutrinos *
 - Anti-Neutrinos *
 - weak hypercharge)

Which of the elementary particles listed below can the Higgs boson not

Gluons (The Higgs field doesn't carry color charge and the gluons don't carry weak

Photons (The Higgs field doesn't carry electric charge and the photons don't carry

* not measured yet!

Alternatives to the Higgs mechanism?

 $(\sigma \to \infty)$ for $\sqrt{S} \to \infty$

Scattering of longitudinally polarized W-bosons violates unitarity bound

Alternatives to the Higgs mechanism?

exists:

Unitarity bound not violated if additional boson with $m \leq 850 \, \text{GeV}$

Higgs self-coupling

- - Above Λ the SM is not valid anymore (like Newton mechanics \rightarrow General Relativity)
- Largest possible range of validity of SM: up to Planck scale Λ_{Pl}
 - Planck scale = scale at which reduced Compton wavelength of a particle is $2 \times$ the Schwarzschild radius and gravity becomes relevant for particle physics

$$rac{\lambda_c}{2\pi} = 2R_S
ightarrow rac{\hbar}{mc} = rac{Gm}{c^2}
ightarrow \Lambda_{
m Pl} = \sqrt{rac{\hbar c}{G}} = 1.22 imes 10^{19} \,
m GeV$$

Relevant for Higgs physics since the Higgs mass receives energy dependent loop corrections

• The SM is (very likely) an effective theory, valid up to a certain energy Λ

Higgs self-coupling

Contributions to running of Higgs self-coupling constant (RGE) formalism similar to running of strong coupling α_s):

- remains valid up to maximum energy scale Λ ?
 - Perturbativity: $\lambda(\Lambda) \ge \pi$ (or $2\pi) \rightarrow$ coupling too strong at energy scale Λ
 - Stability: $\lambda(\Lambda) < 0$ (negative coupling) \rightarrow Higgs potential turns around

• Question: which values can $\lambda(\Lambda)$ assume, so that the Higgs-mechanism

Stability

Source: https://physics.aps.org/articles/v8/108

Stability (NNLO)

Source: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1512.01222.pdf

Higgs boson partial decay width

Decays to fermions or boson pairs (at leading_Horder), $\Gamma(H \to f\bar{f}) = N_C - \frac{G_F}{4\sqrt{2\pi}} m_f^2(m_H) m_H \beta_f^3$ $\Gamma(H \to f\bar{f}) = N_C - 4\sqrt{2\pi} m_f^2(m_H) m_H \beta_f^3$ $\frac{G_F}{16\sqrt{2}\pi m_H^3}$ with $X_{f,W,Z} = m_{f,W,Z}^{2',W,Z}$, with $X_{f,W,Z} = \frac{f_{f,W,Z}}{2}$, $\beta_{f,W,Z} = \chi$ $\beta_{f,W,Z} = \sqrt[m]{1}$ with $X_{f,W,Z} =$ Decays into massless gluons or photons $\mathcal{G}_{F} \mathcal{O}_{S}^{2}(m^{2})$ $\Gamma(H \to gg) = \frac{G_F \,\alpha_S^2(m_H^2)}{36\sqrt{2}\pi^3} \, m_H^3 \, \left[1 + C(m_H^2) + C(m_H^2) \right]$ $\Gamma(H \to \gamma \gamma) = \frac{G_F \alpha^2}{128\sqrt{2}\pi^3} m_H^3 \left[\frac{4}{3} N_C Q_t^2 - 7 \right]^{\frac{1}{28}\sqrt{2}\pi^3} \frac{1}{3} N_C Q_t^2 - 7 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{128\sqrt{2}\pi^3} \frac{1}{3$ 23

 $\begin{array}{c} \overline{(H_{12}, f_{f})} = N_{C} \frac{G_{F}}{4\sqrt{2\pi}} m_{f}^{2}(m_{H}) m_{H} \beta_{f}^{3} \\ 12x^{2} \beta_{Z} G_{F} G_{F} \end{array}$ 00000 W 20000 g 0000 g<u>و</u> ر *g* 20000 γ $\Gamma(H \to \hat{g}g) = \frac{\mathcal{G}_{F} \alpha_{S}^{2} (m_{H}^{2})}{\overline{\sigma}}$ $W = \gamma \gamma$

Higgs boson branching fractions

- Higgs couples preferably to the most massive particles
- Massless particles (gluons, photons): coupling through loop diagrams
- WW(ZZ) decays: one W(Z) may be virtual

Higgs search at LEP and LEP2

- LEP: center of mass energy around 91.2 GeV
 - Dominant production: $Z \rightarrow H + ff$
 - Background small if Z can be reconstructed
 - Lower limit on Higgs mass: $m_H \gtrsim 65 \text{ GeV}$
- LEP2: center of mass energy up to 206 GeV
 - Dominant production: $Z \rightarrow H + Z$ (Higgs-Strahlung)
 - Lower limit on Higgs mass: $m_H \gtrsim 115 \text{ GeV}$

Higgs search at LEP2, final results $m_{\rm H}^{\rm rec}$ (GeV/c²)

26

Source: https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/0306033

Higgs search at Tevatron

- $\mathbf{p}\bar{p}$ collider: coverage of large mass range, but also very large background (often: uncertainty on background larger than expected signal)
- Good sensitivity primarily in associated WH and ZH production
- Relevant Higgs-boson decay channels at the **Tevatron:**
 - $H \rightarrow bb$: identified using B-tagging, but large background from QCD jets
 - $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$: large backgrounds (QCD, $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$)
 - $H \rightarrow WW$: very sensitive around WW threshold, $m_H = 2m_W \approx 160 \text{ GeV}$
 - $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$: very clean but small branching fraction

Credit: Fermilab

Higgs search at Tevatron

- Combined analysis of full dataset at both detectors:
 - 3.1σ significance ("evidence) of a particle with mass m=135 GeV
- Published one day before the Higgs discovery at the LHC

PINGO: Higgs coupling

- The SM 125-GeV Higgs boson has a total decay width of around range of 30 GeV?
 - In associated WH production, the exclusion limits are "smeared" due to the limited mass resolution of bb pairs.
 - The resolution for leptons in $H \rightarrow 4\ell$ at the Tevatron is much worse than at the LHC.
 - The resolution for photons in $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ at the Tevatron is much worse than at the LHC.
 - In associated WH production followed by leptonic W decays, the neutrino reconstruction deteriorates the mass resolution.

4 MeV (!). Why is the Tevatron excess observed in a much wider mass

PINGO: Higgs coupling

- The SM 125-GeV Higgs boson has a total decay width of around range of 30 GeV?
 - mass resolution of bb pairs.
 - The resolution for leptons in $H \rightarrow 41$ at the Tevatron is much worse than at the LHC.
 - The resolution for photons in $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ at the Tevatron is much worse than at the LHC.
 - In associated WH production followed by leptonic W decays, the neutrino reconstruction deteriorates the mass resolution.

4 MeV (!). Why is the Tevatron excess observed in a much wider mass

In associated WH production, the exclusion limits are "smeared" due to the limited

Indirect Higgs Searches

Source: http://project-gfitter.web.cern.ch/project-gfitter/Figures/Standard_Model/2018_03_20_HiggsScan_logo_large.gif

- Higgs boson leads to corrections of SM observables like the Z or W-boson mass
- Best fit before LHC:

$$m_h = 94^{+29}_{-24} \,\mathrm{GeV}$$

 $m_h < 161 \text{ GeV} (95\% \text{ C.L.})$

Higgs search at the LHC

Gluon-Gluon Fusion

Vector Boson Fusion (VBF)

Associated Production with W and Z

[qd] (X+H

o(pp

10

10⁻¹

Associated Production with t and b

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCPhysics/LHCHXSWGCrossSectionsFigures

Higgs-Boson Production Cross Section in pp

Higgs discovery at the LHC

Production	Decay
gg ightarrow H	$H \rightarrow ZZ(^*) \rightarrow 4\ell$
$gg \rightarrow H$ $qq \rightarrow qqH$	$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
$\begin{array}{c} gg \rightarrow H \\ qq \rightarrow qqH \end{array}$	$H \rightarrow WW(^*) \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell v$
$gg \rightarrow H$ $qq \rightarrow qqH$	H o au au
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow VH$	$H \rightarrow b \overline{b}$
$gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}H$	$H \rightarrow b\overline{b}, \gamma\gamma,$ leptons

Credit: U. Husemann

Remarks

excellent mass resolution

small branching fraction, but excellent mass resolution

large production cross section

decay into fermions with large branching fraction

only separable from background in associated production

> direct measurement of top-quark Yukawa coupling

Higgs discovery at the LHC

Higgs discovery 2012

- - Width of the Higgs boson in the SM much smaller than experimental resolution \rightarrow need the best experimental resolution: $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and $H \rightarrow 4\ell$
 - $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$: "Bump" in diphoton mass spectrum (background from random combinations), needs good ECAL
 - $H \rightarrow 4\ell$: One ℓ pair compatible with Z-mass, the other Z is off-shell ("Z*"), $\ell = e \text{ or } \mu$: eeee, $\mu \mu \mu \mu$, ee $\mu \mu$
- Production mode: Vector-Boson fusion
- "Discovery" ($\sigma > 5\sigma$) at CMS and ATLAS by combining all channels

Allowed Higgs boson mass region "known" to a few ten GeV before 2012

Source: https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.7235

Higgs \rightarrow 4 ℓ (2015)

Higgs boson mass

Source: https://journals.aps.org/prl/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.191803 and https://pdg.lbl.gov/2022/reviews/rpp2022-rev-higgs-boson.pdf

as of 2022: $m_H = (125.10 \pm 0.14)$ GeV

Higgs boson production and decay modes

- All parameters of the SM known: calculated and compared to measurements
- Assumptions:
 - There is only one Higgs boson (and not several, overlapping, or interfering Higgs bosons)
 - The width of the Higgs boson is very small ("zero width approximation") \rightarrow production and decay factorize: $(\sigma \times BF)(i \to H \to f) = \frac{\dot{\sigma}_i \Gamma_f}{\Gamma_{II}}$ (initial state i, final state f)
 - Any new physics (not in the SM) does change cross sections and branching factions, but not kinematics

All couplings of the Higgs to all fermions, bosons, and itself can be

µ framework for signal strengths (CMS 2022)

Source: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-022-04892-x

Kappa framework for coupling modifiers

 $(\sigma \times BF)(i \to H \to f) = (\sigma \times BF)(i \to H \to f) \frac{\kappa_i^2 \kappa_f^2}{\kappa_H^2}$

Production process	σ proportional to
gg ightarrow H	$1.06\kappa_t^2$ + $0.01\kappa_b^2$ – $0.07\kappa_t\kappa_b$
Vector-boson fusion	$0.74\kappa_W^2 + 0.26\kappa_Z^2$
WH	κ_W^2
$q\overline{q} ightarrow ZH$	κ_Z^2
gg ightarrow ZH	$2.27\kappa_Z^2$ + $0.37\kappa_t^2$ – $1.64\kappa_Z\kappa_t$
tŦH	κ_t^2
bbH	κ_b^2

Decay channel	Γ proportional to
$H \rightarrow ZZ$	κ_Z^2
H ightarrow WW	κ_W^2
$H ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$1.59\kappa_W^2 + 0.07\kappa_t^2 - 0.66\kappa_W\kappa_t$
$H ightarrow b\overline{b}$	κ_b^2
$H \rightarrow \tau \tau$	$\kappa_{ au}^2$

Particle Physics 1

more info: <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1209.0040.pdf</u>

Kappa framework (CMS 2022)

Source: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-022-04892-x

Coupling modifiers (CMS 2022)

Source: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-022-04892-x

Higgs self-interactions and quartic couplings (CMS 2022)

Spin and parity

- Standard model Higgs is a scalar with $J^P = 0^+$
- Landau-Yang theorem [1, 2]: massive spin-1 particle cannot decay into two massless spin-1 particles \rightarrow spin 1 excluded due to observation of $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
- Spin and parity from angular analysis of $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ comparing null hypothesis $(J^P = 0^+)$ with many other hypotheses

more info: [1] Lew Dawidowitsch Landau: On the angular momentum of a system of two photons, Doklady Akademii Nauk Ser. Fiz. Band 60, Nr. 2, 1948, 207 – 209 (englisch) [2] Chen Ning Yang: Selection Rules for the Dematerialization of a Particle into Two Photons. In: Physical Review. Band 77, Nr. 2, 1950, 242 – 245 (englisch)

Credit: R. Wolf

What questions do you have?

