Exercises for "Superconductivity, Josephson ..." SS 2023

Prof. Dr. A. Shnirman, Dr. K. Piasotski

Exercise 1: Solution Tutorial on 13.10.2023

1. Free energy of the Abrikosov vortex: (50 Punkte) In the lecture and the script we have discussed the lower critical field  $H_{c1}$  for a type II superconductor with large Ginzburg-Landau parameter,  $\kappa \gg 1$ . At this field vortices start to penetrate the bulk of the superconductor. In order to obtain  $H_{c1}$  one needs the free energy of the vortex line per unit

length,  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{vortex}} \sim \epsilon L$ . We used the following result (in the reduced GL units)

$$\epsilon \sim \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^2} \ln \kappa \ . \tag{1}$$

Here we prove this result using the Ginzburg-Landau free energy functional and the solution of the Ginzburg-Landau equations for a single Abrikosov vortex. Consult if necessary the books by Abrikosov or Tinkham.

1) The free energy functional in the GL reduced units reads

$$\mathcal{F} = \int dV F_n + \int dV \left\{ -|\Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\Psi|^4 + \left| \left( -\frac{i\vec{\nabla}}{\kappa} + \vec{A} \right) \Psi \right|^2 + \vec{B}^2 \right\} . \tag{2}$$

Integrate by parts and use the Ginsburg-Landau equation to obtain the contribution of the kinetic energy

$$\mathcal{F}_{\rm kin} = \int dV \left| \left( -\frac{i\vec{\nabla}}{\kappa} + \vec{A} \right) \Psi \right|^2 = \int dV \left\{ |\Psi|^2 - |\Psi|^4 \right\} . \tag{3}$$

The Ginsburg-Landau equation reads

$$\left(-i\kappa^{-1}\vec{\nabla} + \vec{A}\right)^2 \Psi - \Psi + |\Psi|^2 \Psi = 0 , \qquad (4)$$

This gives

$$\mathcal{F}_{kin} = \int dV \left| \left( -\frac{i\vec{\nabla}}{\kappa} + \vec{A} \right) \Psi \right|^2 = \int dV \left[ \left( -\frac{i\vec{\nabla}}{\kappa} + \vec{A} \right) \Psi \right] \left[ \left( \frac{i\vec{\nabla}}{\kappa} + \vec{A} \right) \Psi^* \right]$$
$$= \int dV \left[ \left( -\frac{i\vec{\nabla}}{\kappa} + \vec{A} \right)^2 \Psi \right] \left[ \Psi^* \right] = \int dV \left\{ |\Psi|^2 - |\Psi|^4 \right\} . \tag{5}$$

2) Combine  $\mathcal{F}_{kin}$  with the condensation energy

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{cond}} = \int dV \left\{ -|\Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\Psi|^4 \right\}$$
 (6)

and the field energy

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{field}} = \int dV \, \vec{B}^2 \ . \tag{7}$$

Take into account he condensation energy without the vortex and prove that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{vortex}} = \int dV \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (1 - |\Psi|^4) + \vec{B}^2 \right\} . \tag{8}$$

The condensation energy with no vortex reads

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{cond},0} = \int dV \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \right\} . \tag{9}$$

The vortex energy is the difference of  $\mathcal{F}$  with a vortex and  $\mathcal{F}$  without a vortex, i.e., with  $\vec{B} = 0$  and  $|\Psi| = 1$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{vortex}} = [\mathcal{F}_{\text{cond}} - \mathcal{F}_{\text{cond},0}] + \mathcal{F}_{\text{kin}} + \mathcal{F}_{\text{field}}$$
 (10)

Combining all together we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{vortex}} = \int dV \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (1 - |\Psi|^4) + \vec{B}^2 \right\}$$
 (11)

3) Use the solutions obtained in the lecture (script) for  $\Psi$  and  $\vec{B}$ . Distinguish between the contribution of the vertex core  $r < 1/\kappa$  and that from the interval  $1 > r > 1/\kappa$ . Estimate the vortex energy.

For  $1 > r > 1/\kappa$  we obtained in the lecture  $|\Psi|^2 = f^2 \approx 1 - (\kappa r)^{-2}$ . Then  $|\Psi|^4 \approx 1 - 2(\kappa r)^{-2} + (\kappa r)^{-4}$  and  $(1/2)(1 - |\Psi|^4) \approx (\kappa r)^{-2} - (1/2)(\kappa r)^{-4}$ . The integration gives (with logarithmic accuracy, i.e., 1 is neglected in comparison with  $\ln \kappa$ )

$$\int_{1/\kappa}^{1} 2\pi r dr \, \frac{1}{2} (1 - |\Psi|^4) \approx \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^2} \, \ln \kappa \, . \tag{12}$$

For the magnetic field at  $1 > r > 1/\kappa$  we use (see script)

$$B(r) \approx \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln(1/r) , \qquad (13)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_{1/\kappa}^{\infty} 2\pi r dr \, B^2(r) \tag{14}$$

is not logarithmically diverging at  $r \to 0$ . Thus the field energy contribution is sub-leading and can be neglected with logarithmic accuracy.

Let us now estimate the core energy. For the estimate let's take  $|\Psi| \approx \kappa r$  for  $0 < r < 1/\kappa$ . Then

$$\int_{0}^{1/\kappa} 2\pi r dr \, \frac{1}{2} (1 - |\Psi|^4) \approx \frac{\pi}{3\kappa^2} \,. \tag{15}$$

There is no  $\ln \kappa$ , thus, this can be neglected. For the field part we can take for the estimate  $B(r < 1/\kappa) \approx B(1/\kappa) \approx (1/\kappa) \ln \kappa$ . This gives

$$\int_{0}^{1/\kappa} 2\pi r dr \ln^{2} \kappa / \kappa^{2} \sim \kappa^{-4} \ln^{2} \kappa . \tag{16}$$

This can definitely be neglected. Thus, the core energy in negligible with logarithmic accuracy.

2. Pearl vortex (50 Punkte)

In the lecture and the script we have considered a single vortex in a thin superconducting film (Pearl vortex).

1) We have introduced the field  $\vec{\Phi}(x,y) \equiv \frac{\hbar c}{2e} \vec{\nabla} \phi$ , where  $\phi$  is the phase of the superconducting order parameter. For a single vortex we have:  $\phi(x,y) = -\varphi = \cos^{-1}(x/\sqrt{x^2+y^2})$ . Here  $\varphi$  is the angle in the polar coordinates. Prove the following relations

$$\vec{\Phi} = -\frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi r} \vec{e}_{\varphi} \ . \tag{17}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\Phi} = -\Phi_0 \delta(x) \delta(y) \vec{e}_z \ . \tag{18}$$

Show that in the Fourier representation this relation reads

$$\vec{\Phi}(\vec{q}) = -i\Phi_0[\vec{q} \times \vec{e}_z]/q^2 \ . \tag{19}$$

First of all we remind ourselves that

$$\vec{\nabla}f(r,\varphi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}f(r,\varphi)\vec{e_r} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}f(r,\varphi)\vec{e_\varphi},\tag{20}$$

for some function  $f(r,\varphi)$ . It follows that

$$\vec{\Phi}(x,y) \equiv \frac{\hbar c}{2e} \vec{\nabla} \phi = -\underbrace{\frac{\hbar c}{2e}}_{=\Phi_0} \frac{1}{2\pi r} \vec{e}_{\varphi}$$
 (21)

Now we remind ourselves that

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}(r) = -\vec{e}_{\varphi} \frac{\partial A_z(r)}{\partial r} + \vec{e}_z \left( \frac{\partial A_{\varphi}(r)}{\partial r} + \frac{A_{\varphi}(r)}{r} \right), \tag{22}$$

such that for  $r \neq 0$  we find

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\Phi}(x,y) = -\frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi} \vec{e}_z \left( -\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) = 0,$$
 (23)

as one would expect for  $\vec{\Phi}(x,y) \propto \nabla \phi$ .

For  $r \to 0$  our vector field features a singularity, with its rotor displaying the  $\delta$ -function behaviour. To see that, we consider

$$\int_{|\vec{r}| \le \epsilon} d^{(2)} \vec{r} \left( \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\Phi}(\vec{r}) \right) \cdot \vec{e}_z = \oint_{|\vec{r}| = \epsilon} d\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\Phi}(x, y) = -\int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi} = -\Phi_0, \quad \forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$
(24)

We see that the function  $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\Phi}(x,y)$  possesses the properties:

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\Phi}(\vec{r}) = 0\vec{e}_z, \quad \vec{r} \neq \vec{0}, \tag{25}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^{(2)} \vec{r} \left( \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\Phi}(\vec{r}) \right) \cdot \vec{e}_z = -\Phi_0, \tag{26}$$

forcing us to conclude that

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\Phi}(x,y) = -\Phi_0 \delta^{(2)}(\vec{r}) \vec{e}_z = -\Phi_0 \delta(x) \delta(y) \vec{e}_z. \tag{27}$$

In Fourier representation this equation reads

$$i\vec{q} \times \vec{\Phi}(\vec{q}) = -\Phi_0 \vec{e}_z, \tag{28}$$

whose solution is given by

$$\vec{\Phi}(\vec{q}) = -i\Phi_0 \frac{\vec{q} \times \vec{e}_z}{q^2},\tag{29}$$

as is seen by the application of the vector identity

$$\vec{a} \times (\vec{b} \times \vec{c}) = \vec{b}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) - \vec{c}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}). \tag{30}$$

2) In the lecture (script) we obtained for the current density in the Fourier representation

$$\vec{J}(\vec{q}) = -\frac{c}{4\pi\Lambda} \vec{\Phi}(\vec{q}) \frac{2\Lambda q}{1 + 2\Lambda q} , \qquad (31)$$

Analyse the current density in the coordinate representation  $\vec{J}(x,y)$  (or in polar coordinates  $\vec{J}(r,\varphi)$  in two limits: a)  $r \ll \Lambda$ ; b)  $r \gg \Lambda$ .

We now calculate the current distribution. In the lecture (script) we got

$$\vec{J}(\vec{q}) = -\frac{c}{4\pi\Lambda} \vec{\Phi}(\vec{q}) \frac{2\Lambda q}{1 + 2\Lambda q} , \qquad (32)$$

where

$$\vec{\Phi}(\vec{q}) = -i\Phi_0[\vec{q} \times \vec{e}_z]/q^2 \ . \tag{33}$$

This gives

$$\vec{J}(\vec{r}) = -\frac{c}{4\pi\Lambda} \int \frac{dk_x dk_y}{4\pi^2} e^{i\vec{q}\vec{r}} \vec{\Phi}(\vec{q}) \frac{2\Lambda q}{1 + 2\Lambda q} . \tag{34}$$

Assume in polar coordinates  $\vec{r} = r(\cos\varphi, \sin\varphi)$  and  $\vec{q} = q(\cos\theta, \sin\theta)$ . Then  $\vec{q}\vec{r} = qr\cos(\varphi - \theta)$  and

$$\vec{\Phi}(\vec{q}) = -i\Phi_0 \frac{1}{q} (\sin \theta, -\cos \theta) . \tag{35}$$

This gives

$$\vec{J}(r,\varphi) = \frac{i\Phi_0 c}{4\pi (4\pi^2)\Lambda} \int_0^\infty dq \int_0^{2\pi} d\vartheta e^{iqr\cos(\vartheta-\varphi)} \frac{2\Lambda q}{1 + 2\Lambda q} (\sin\vartheta, -\cos\vartheta)$$
 (36)

$$= -\frac{\Phi_0 c}{4(4\pi^2)\Lambda^2} (\sin\varphi, -\cos\varphi) \int_0^\infty d\bar{q} \frac{\bar{q}}{1+\bar{q}} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\vartheta}{2\pi i} \cos\vartheta e^{i\bar{q}\frac{r}{2\Lambda}\cos\vartheta}.$$
 (37)

Further we recognise the polar integral as the Bessel function of the first kind

$$J_1\left(\frac{\bar{q}r}{2\Lambda}\right) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\vartheta}{2\pi i} \cos\vartheta e^{i\bar{q}\frac{r}{2\Lambda}\cos\vartheta},\tag{38}$$

such that

$$\vec{J}(r,\varphi) = -\frac{\Phi_0 c}{4(4\pi^2)\Lambda^2} (\sin\varphi, -\cos\varphi) \int_0^\infty d\bar{q} \frac{\bar{q}}{1+\bar{q}} J_1\left(\frac{\bar{q}r}{2\Lambda}\right)$$
(39)

$$= -\frac{\Phi_0 c}{4(4\pi^2)\Lambda^2} (\sin\varphi, -\cos\varphi) \frac{2\Lambda}{r} \int_0^\infty d\zeta \frac{\frac{2\Lambda}{r}\zeta}{1 + \frac{2\Lambda}{r}\zeta} J_1(\zeta). \tag{40}$$

For  $\frac{2\Lambda}{r} \gg 1$  we can write

$$\vec{J}(r,\varphi) \sim -\left(\sin\varphi, -\cos\varphi\right) \frac{\Phi_0 c}{2(2\pi)^2 \Lambda} \frac{1}{r} \underbrace{\int_0^\infty d\zeta J_1\left(\zeta\right)}_{-1}. \tag{41}$$

Exploiting the fact that

$$\frac{\bar{q}}{2\Lambda}J_1\left(\frac{\bar{q}r}{2\Lambda}\right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial r}J_0\left(\frac{\bar{q}r}{2\Lambda}\right),\tag{42}$$

we find

$$\vec{J}(r,\varphi) = \frac{\Phi_0 c}{2(4\pi^2)\Lambda} (\sin\varphi, -\cos\varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \int_0^\infty d\bar{q} \frac{J_0\left(\frac{\bar{q}r}{2\Lambda}\right)}{1+\bar{q}}$$
(43)

$$= \frac{\Phi_0 c}{2(4\pi^2)\Lambda} (\sin \varphi, -\cos \varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{2\Lambda}{r} \int_0^\infty d\zeta \frac{J_0(\zeta)}{1 + \frac{2\Lambda}{r} \zeta}.$$
 (44)

It figures that

$$\vec{J}(r,\varphi) \sim -\left(\sin\varphi, -\cos\varphi\right) \frac{\Phi_0 c}{(2\pi)^2 r^2} \underbrace{\int_0^\infty d\zeta J_0\left(\zeta\right)}_{=1}, \quad \frac{2\Lambda}{r} \to 0. \tag{45}$$