

Vorlesung 13b Teilchenphysik I (Particle Physics I)

Flavor Physics

Günter Quast

Fakultät für Physik Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik

WS20/21



- 1. History
- 2. Basics principles
- 3. Detectors and Accelerators
- 4. Theoretical Foundations
 - 1. Relativistic Quantum Mechanics
 - 2. Quantum Field Theory and symmetries
 - 3. Elctroweak Symmetry and Higgs Mechanism
- 5. QCD and Jets
- 6. Analysis Chain
- 7. Flavour Physics
 - 1. Quark mixing and CKM Matrix
 - 2. Meson-Antimeson Mixing
 - 3. CP Violation
- 8. Tests of Electroweak Theory
 - 1. Discoveries
 - 2. Precicion Physics with Z bosons
 - 3. W Boson and electroweak fit

Introduction (Quark) Flavour Physics

- Experimentally: three fermion families (generations) → six different quark and lepton flavors
- Flavor quantum numbers (weak isospin, strangeness, charm, beauty, truth) conserved in electromagnetic and strong interactions

Only weak interactions change flavor: charged currents (CC)

Universal W-boson coupling to left-handed fermions:

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \overline{f} \gamma^\mu rac{1}{2} (1-\gamma_5) f'$$

- Transitions within the same SU(2)^L doublet occur in particle decays and flavor oscillations
- Quark mixing: mass eigenstate ≠ flavor eigenstate ≠ CP eigenstate → rich phenomenology: "flavor physics"

(Pre-)History of Flavour Physics

- 1947: strangeness (Rochester, Butler)
- 1953: flavor SU(3) (Gell-Mann; Nishijima)
- 1957: parity violation (Lee, Yang; Wu; Goldhaber, Grodzins)
- 1963: quark mixing (Cabibbo)
- 1964: CP violation (Christenson, Cronin, Fitch, Turlay)
- 1964: quark model (Gell-Mann; Zweig)
- 1970: GIM mechanism (Glashow, Iliopoulos, Maiani)
- 1973: three families and CKM matrix (Kobayashi, Maskawa)

Classification of Weak Decays

corrections

Leptonic decays:

- No transitions between lepton families
- Example muon decay: clean environment (=small theoretical uncertainites) to measure Fermi coupling constant G_F
 1
 G²_F
 5(4
 A)

$$\Gamma(\mu \to e \,\overline{\nu}_e \nu_\mu) = \frac{1}{\tau_\mu} = \frac{G_F}{192\pi^3} m_\mu^5 (1 + \Delta)$$
radiative

- Semileptonic decays:
 - Final state contains leptons and hadrons
 - Example: neutron beta decay

Hadronic decays:

- Final state contains only hadrons
- Example: $K^0 \rightarrow \pi \pi$

Leptonic Decay









Cabbibo Theory

Experimentally (1960s):

- Flavor changing transition of leptons and quarks similar but not exactly the same: coupling strength in neutron decays approx. 97% of coupling strength in muon decays
- Strange particle decays suppressed, only about 23% of coupling strength in muon decays

Explanation: **quark mixing** (N. Cabibbo, 1963) \rightarrow eigenstate of weak interaction *d*': mixture of mass eigenstates *d* and *s*

N. Cabibbo

Flavour-Changing Neutral Currents (FCNC)

FCNC were experimentally found to be strongly suppressed:

- Compare branching fractions of
- $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ and $K^0_L \rightarrow \mu \mu$:
- $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$: **charged** current with $\Delta S = 1$
- $K^{0}_{L} \rightarrow \mu\mu$: neutral current with $\Delta S = 1$ \rightarrow flavor-changing neutral current (FCNC)
- Experimentally: FCNC strongly suppressed, B(K⁰ → μμ)/B(K⁺ → ℓν) ≈ 10⁻⁹ → why?



in the quark model:

- $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$: **s-channel exchange** of W boson
- $K_{L}^{0} \rightarrow \mu \mu$: **box diagram** with two *W* bosons
- Model with three quarks (u, d, s): box diagram contains virtual u quark → no explanation of strong FCNC suppression



Explanation: GIM Mechanism

The GIM – Mechanism (S. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos, L. Maiani, PRD 2 (1970) 1285)

- Quarks span "flavour space",
 - states transformed by flavour rotation;
 - only relative rotation angle relevant:
 - → convention: rotation of down-type quarks
- W bosons do not couple to eigenstates of strong interactions u, d, s (the mass eigenstates), but to left-handed component of "rotated" eigenstates u', d', s' (without defined mass)



$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_C & \sin \theta_C \\ -\sin \theta_C & \cos \theta_C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \end{pmatrix}$$

2x2 mixing matrix with one real parameter θ_{C}

??? The d quark has an isospin partner – what about the s quark ? \rightarrow postulate a partner also for the s quark, the charm (c) quark !

GIM Mechanism: there must be another quark !



The $K^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ process in the GIM-picture:

Box diagrams with virtual u and c quarks \rightarrow destructive interference



Negative interference between u and c quark ! If they had the same mass, the process would be forbidden \rightarrow prediction of charm quark mass

1974: J/ψ discovery \rightarrow interpretation as **charmonium** (c \overline{c}) state

Extension to more quark flavours: CKM matrix

An **extension** of the GIM model **to three down-type quark flavours** was theoretically proposed in 1973 by **M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa**

The proposed 5th quark, the **b, beauty or bottom quark**, was **discovered** in **1977**

→ Cabbibo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) Matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} d'\\s'\\b' \end{pmatrix} = V_{CKM} \begin{pmatrix} d\\s\\b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub}\\V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb}\\V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d\\s\\b \end{pmatrix}$$

 \rightarrow Charged-Current reaction written as

$$J_{\rm CC}^{\mu,+} = (\overline{u}, \overline{c}, \overline{t}) \left(\gamma^{\mu} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma_5) \frac{V_{\rm CKM}}{b} \right) \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

Notes: ■ 3x3 mixing matrix can have one complex phase in addition to three rotation angles → explanation for CP violation (see later)
 ■ Origin of CKM matrix lies in the Higgs sector, which explains masses of fundamental particles by Yukawa couplings of fermions to the Higgs boson; Yukawa couplings are not flavour-diagonal !

Nobel Prize 2008

one half awarded to



M. Kobayashi





T. Maskawa

"for the discovery of the origin of the broken symmetry which predicts the existence of at least three families of quarks in nature"

www.nobelprize.org

Properties of the CKM Matrix

- **Unitary** complex 3×3 matrix: $V_{CKM}^{\dagger} V_{CKM} = V_{CKM} V_{CKM}^{\dagger} = \mathbb{1}_3$
 - Complex 3×3 matrix: 18 parameters (9 magnitudes, 9 phases)
 - Number of **physical** degrees of freedom (d.o.f): 4 (3 magnitudes, 1 phase)
- Quantum-mechanical phase: 6 phases can be absorbed in definitions of quark fields, one total phase cannot be observed → number of d.o.f. reduced by 5
- CKM unitarity: number of d.o.f. further reduced by 9
 - Three real equations: 3 d.o.f. $\sum_{i=1}^{3} V_{ij}V_{ij}^* = 1 \text{ for } j = 1 \dots 3$
 - Three complex equations: 6 d.o.f. $\sum_{i=1}^{3} V_{ij} V_{ik}^* = 0 \text{ for } j, k = 1 \dots 3, k > j$



Standard parameterization: three Euler angles θ_{ij} , one phase δ (Kobayashi-Maskawa phase \rightarrow CP violation)

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

s-b mixing

$$with \ c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}, \ s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

CKM Matrix: numerical Values

Experimentally: magnitudes of CKM matrix elements (PDG 2016)

<i>V</i> _{CKM} =	0.97434 ^{+0.00011} -0.00012	0.22506 ± 0.00050	0.00357 ± 0.00015
	0.22492 ± 0.00050	0.97351 ± 0.00013	0.0411 ± 0.0013
	$0.00875^{+0.00032}_{-0.00033}$	0.0403 ± 0.0013	$0.99915 \pm 0.00005 /$



graphical representation, size of boxes representing size of elements

There is a clear hierarchy:

- dominating diagonal elements → transitions within family most likely
- transitions between 1st and 3rd family strongly suppressed



Note: smallness of the off-diagonal elements is the reason for the long life times of mesons with heavy quarks !

Convenient: Wolfenstein Parametrisation

Starting from the standard parametrisation

$$V_{\rm CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{12}C_{13} & S_{12}C_{13} \\ -S_{12}C_{23} - C_{12}S_{23}S_{13}e^{i\delta} & C_{12}C_{23} - S_{12}S_{23}S_{13}e^{i\delta} \\ S_{12}S_{23} - C_{12}C_{23}S_{13}e^{i\delta} & -C_{12}S_{23} - S_{12}C_{23}S_{13}e^{i\delta} \\ C_{23}C_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

with the choice of parameters

$$s_{12} = \lambda = \frac{|V_{us}|}{\sqrt{|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2}} \qquad s_{23} = \lambda \left| \frac{V_{cb}}{V_{us}} \right| = A\lambda^2 \qquad s_{13}e^{i\delta} = V_{ub}^* = A\lambda^3(\rho + i\eta)$$

and expanding up to $\lambda^3 \rightarrow$

$$V_{\rm CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The hierarchy of CKM Matris elements, e.g. $|V_{ud}| > |V_{us}| > |V_{cb}| > |V_{ub}|$ is clearly expressed in this parametrisation.

Unitarity Triangles

Unitarity of the matrix dictates relations

ar ³ matrix elements: $\sum_{j=1}^{3} V_{ij} V_{ik}^* = 0 \text{ for } j, k = 1 \dots 3, k > j$

Geometrically, the sum of three complex numbes = 0 represents a **triangle** in the complex plane

C. Jarlskog showed that the area of all such "unitarity triangles" is the same and proportional to the level of CP violation

Note: Need >4 measurements to over-constrain the 4 free elements of the CKM matrix.

First and second* column:

$$V_{us}^* V_{ud} + V_{cs}^* V_{cd} + V_{ts}^* V_{td} = 0$$

$$\approx \mathcal{O}(\lambda) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5)$$



"The" Unitarity Triangle

Best choice: unitarity triangle from first column and third column*

 $V_{ub}^* V_{ud} + V_{cb}^* V_{cd} + V_{tb}^* V_{td} = 0$

Side length of **same order**, in Wolfenstein parameterization:

$$|V_{ub}^* V_{ud}| = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3), \ |V_{cb}^* V_{cd}| = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3), \ |V_{tb}^* V_{td}| = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)$$

Base length normalized to 1: "the" unitarity triangle



Determination of CKM Matrix Elements

The experimental side: which processes are sensitive to Vij?

- nuclear β decays
- semileptonic decays of mesons containing flavor i or j in initial or final state
- meson antimeson oscillations
- single top production and tt decays



Vij and Vij* in Lagrange Density

Example:

weak current for $u \rightarrow d$ transition

$$j_{ud} = \overline{d} \left[\underbrace{-i \frac{g_W}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ud}^* \gamma^u \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma^5)}_{vertexfactor} \right] u$$

weak current for $d \rightarrow u$ transition

$$j_{du} = \overline{u} \left[-i \frac{g_W}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma^u V_{ud} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma^5) \right] d$$

CKM element enters either as V^*_{ud} or V_{ud} , depending on the order of the interaction, ${\rm u}\to{\rm d}$ or ${\rm d}\to{\rm u}$

(other flavour analogous !)

Constraining the CKM Triangle

All available measurements are taken into account in an overall fit (e.g. CKM fitter group)

The system is over-constrained.

Most important inputs:

- Magnitudes of CKM matrix elements
- Rare B decays
- Flavor oscillations
- CP violation in neutral kaon and B-meson decays (see later)
- Heavy quark masses



for more detail see

- latest PDG review http://pdg.lbl.gov/2019/reviews/rpp2018-rev-ckm-matrix.pdf
- Lecture Teilchenpyhsik II: Flavor Physics