



Deep-inelastic scattering (DIS)

Fakultät für Physik K. Rabbertz (ETP)



Klaus Rabbertz

Karlsruhe, 26.01.2021

Teilchenphysik I





See the CMS cavern in a live visit!

Instead of the traditional CERN excursion, not possible because of COVID19, we propose for Thursday, 28.01.2021, from 14:00 – 15:00h a virtual visit of the CMS experiment to all students of the Particle & Detector Physics courses.

The event can be reached from this link: https://indico.cern.ch/event/971070/

(I will send this also via Ilias after the lecture today.) Under connection you'll find the ZOOM link to the webinar. It is possible to ask questions via a Q&A box.

Local Karlsruhe host: Günter Quast CMS surface host: KR Underground guide: Erik Butz Technical support: Noemi Beni & Zoltan Szillasi



Summary Z pole



- $e^+e^- \rightarrow ff$ annihilation via s-channel photon exchange: $\sigma_{\gamma} = N_C Q_f^2 \frac{4\pi \alpha^2}{3c}$
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ special case, also t-channel dominating for small angles
- Approaching M_z also Z exchange, depends on left-/righthanded chiralities,
- Identical final states $\rightarrow \gamma/Z$ interference \rightarrow forward-backward asymmetries
- At M_z resonance peak of cross section depends on decay widths
- Lineshape depends on Z decay width including invisible decays (neutrinos)
- Determine no. of neutrino generations: N_v = 2.9840 ± 0.0082
- Higher-order diagrams of QED important for correct predictions at Z pole
- Radiative corrections mandatory
- Virtual corrections also contain information of heavy particles in loops \rightarrow predict top quark mass





- Quantum corrections lead to energy (distance) dependent couplings
- **QCD** coupling decreases with energy \rightarrow asymptotic freedom
- **QCD** coupling increases with distance (confinement) \rightarrow string potential ~ r
- Free (anti-)quarks or gluons are not measurable
- But final state pattern (foot print) of hard interaction measurable if energy scale of interaction >> hadronisation scale
 - Energy flow pattern in events, "event shapes"
 - Streams of collimated particles, "jets"
- Jet definition (event shape, too) requires algorithm that is infrared- and collinear-safe to compare with perturbative predictions of theory



Running coupling constant

Running coupling from PDG

Karlsruhe, 09.12.2020







Klaus Rabbertz



Teilchenphysik I





- Literature: Review of Particle Physics (PDG)
 - Online version of "Bible" of particle physics: http://pdg.lbl.gov/



The Review of Particle Physics (2020)

P.A. Zyla et al. (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2020, 083C01 (2020).

pdgLive provides interactive access to the Particle Listings and much more. Go from a measurement in the Listings to reading a paper with two mouse clicks.

pdgLive - Interactive Listings
Summary Tables
Reviews, Tables, Plots
Particle Listings
Search









Scattering off nuclei



Rutherford cross section:

- Non-relativistic electron with kinetic energy E_{kin} on static Coulomb potential
- **Only interacting charge (electric)**
- No purely spin-related interaction (magnetic)

 $=\frac{Z^2\alpha^2}{16E_{\rm kin}^2\sin^4(\theta/2)}$

Mott cross section:

Klaus Rabbertz

- **Relativistic spin-1/2 electron with** energy E on pointlike spinless particle
- Considers nuclear recoil E = E'
- Still no spin-spin interaction; spin flip -> impossible \rightarrow backscatter suppressed





Scattering off nucleon



- Rosenbluth cross section:
 - Elastic electron-nucleon scattering
 - Considers finite size of nucleon
 - Introduces electric and magnetic form factors G_E and G

$$Q^2 = -q^2 = \vec{q}^2 - (E - E')^2$$

 $au = rac{Q^2}{4M_p^2}$
 $Q^2(1 + \tau) = \vec{q}^2$

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right)_{\mathrm{Rosenbluth}} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right)_{\mathrm{Mott}} \cdot \left[\frac{G_E^2(Q^2) + \tau G_M^2(Q^2)}{1+\tau} + 2\tau G_M^2(Q^2) \tan^2(\theta/2)\right]$$

- For $Q^2 \ll M^2_p$, that is $\tau \to 0$:
 - G_E and G_M become the Fourier transforms of the electric charge and magnetic moment distributions
 - Electric interaction dominant

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right) \left/ \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right)_{\mathrm{Mott}} \approx G_E^2\right.$$

- At high Q², т >> 1:
 - Magnetic interaction dominant

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right) \left/ \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right)_{\mathrm{Mott}} \approx \left(1 + 2\tau \tan^2(\theta/2)\right) G_M^2$$

Form factors



- **Electric form factor:**
 - **Point-like particle** \rightarrow **flat**
 - Proton measurements \rightarrow "dipole" like $G_E(Q^2) \propto \frac{1}{(1+f\cdot Q^2)^2}$ (exponential charge distribution)
 - Other shapes possible, e.g. Gaussian (⁶Li nucleus)
- **Magnetic form factor:**
 - Measurement from 1933: Anomalous magnetic moment of 2.79 μ_{N}
 - Not a point-like particle ...
 - No reason to assume shape G_{M} / 2.79 ~ G_{F}
 - But measurements from the 1950's show that it's the case ...





Nobel prize 1943

Otto Stern







"Dipole"-like G_E of proton ~ G_M of proton and neutron if normalised to anomalous magnetic moments $\mu_p = 2.79 \ \mu_N$ and $\mu_n = -1.91 \ \mu_N$ G_E of neutron ~ 0





Inelastic ep scattering



Inelastic scattering:

- Energy transfer to target nucleon
- Target nucleon broken up
- Recoil absorbed by hadronic system with mass W > M_p





k e W

Many Hadrons X(h)



DIS kinematics



Neglect electron and proton masses: $M_p = M_e = 0$ Center-of-mass energy: $s = (k+P)^2 = 2k \cdot P = 4E_eE_p$

Elastic \rightarrow **one independent variable**:

$$Q^{2} = -q^{2} = (k - k')^{2} = 2k \cdot k' = 2E_{e}E_{e'}(1 + \cos(\theta_{e'}))$$



Deep-inelastic scattering (DIS)

Inelastic \rightarrow 2nd variable: $W^2 = (q + P)^2 = 2P \cdot q - q^2$

Alternative:





DIS kinematics



Neglect electron and proton masses: $M_p = M_e = 0$ Center-of-mass energy: $s = (k+P)^2 = 2k \cdot P = 4E_e E_p$

Elastic \rightarrow **one independent variable**:

$$Q^{2} = -q^{2} = (k - k')^{2} = 2k \cdot k' = 2E_{e}E_{e'}(1 + \cos(\theta_{e'}))$$



Inelastic \rightarrow 2nd variable: $W^2 = (q+P)^2 = 2P \cdot q - q^2$

Alternative:

Bjorken scaling $x = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}$ Many Hadronsvariable:X(h)Inelasticity: $y = \frac{P \cdot q}{P \cdot k}$

 $0 \le (x, y) \le 1$



Infinite momentum frame



Proton equals collinear stream of fast moving "partons", masses negligible \rightarrow factorised incoherent partonic scatter

$$\hat{s} = (q + \xi P)^2 = 2\xi q \cdot P - Q^2 = \left(\frac{\xi}{x} - 1\right)Q^2$$







Infinite momentum frame



Proton equals collinear stream of fast moving "partons", masses negligible \rightarrow factorised incoherent partonic scatter

$$\hat{s} = (q + \xi P)^2 = 2\xi q \cdot P - Q^2 = \left(\frac{\xi}{x} - 1\right)Q^2$$

 $\hat{s} = 0 \rightarrow \xi = x$ $\xi = \left(1 + \frac{\hat{s}}{O^2}\right)x$ **Deep-inelastic scattering (DIS) at LO** k Quark parton model (QPM) \rightarrow scaling variable x: momentum fraction of struck parton in proton $x = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}$ One parton $y = \frac{P \cdot q}{P \cdot k}$ x P $f_{i/p}$ $0 \le (x, y) \le 1$



k.

Infinite momentum frame



Proton equals collinear stream of fast moving "partons", masses negligible \rightarrow factorised incoherent partonic scatter

$$\hat{s} = (q + \xi P)^2 = 2\xi q \cdot P - Q^2 = \left(\frac{\xi}{x} - 1\right)Q^2$$

Deep-inelastic scattering (DIS) at NLO $x \le \xi \le 1$ $\xi = (1)$

$$\left(1 + \frac{\hat{s}}{Q^2}\right)x$$

 $x = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}$ $y = \frac{P \cdot q}{P \cdot k}$

 $0 \le (x, y) \le 1$

f_{i/p}

ξΡ

More partons

 \mathbf{p}_1

QUQQUQQ P2





Inelasticity y is relative energy loss of electron in target rest frame:

$$y = \frac{E_e - E_{e'}}{E_e}$$

Only two of the four invariant variables are independent!

Deep-inelastic scattering (DIS)















- Inelastic >> elastic cross section ~ G²_{E,M} * Mott ~ 1/Q⁸ * Mott
- Inelastic cross section ~ const. * Mott
 - approximately independent of resolution ~ q²
 - scale invariant, i.e. no natural length scale
 - like scattering at point-like objects
 - proton broken up \rightarrow W > M_p





Klaus Rabbertz



Nobel prize 1990: DIS





J.I. Friedman



H.W. Kendall



R.E. Taylor



Karlsruhe, 26.01.2021

nobelprize.org



HERA Collider at DESY





Klaus Rabbertz

Karlsruhe, 26.01.2021

Teilchenphysik I

Deep-inelastic scattering at HERAETE

Electromagnetic reaction:

Backscattering of electron off charged proton constituent

H1 Detector



H1 Event Tutorial, J Meyer, DESY (2005)











Rosenbluth formula can be rewritten to include inelastic scattering.

Most general Lorentz-invariant and parity conserving expression:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \sigma}{\mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}Q^2} = \frac{4\pi \alpha^2}{Q^4} \left[(1-y) \frac{F_2(x, Q^2)}{x} + y^2 F_1(x, Q^2) \right] \qquad \qquad Q^2 \gg M_p^2 y^2$$

 $F_1(x,Q^2)$ and $F_2(x,Q^2)$ are structure functions incorporating the form factors (and kinematic ones, τ), but cannot be related to Fourier transforms any more since dependent on x.

Still, $F_1(x,Q^2)$ is of purely magnetic origin, while $F_2(x,Q^2)$ originates from both, electric and magnetic effects.

What do they mean?

Klaus Rabbertz





- J.D. Bjorken, R.P. Feynman 1969:
- Infinite momentum frame
 - incoherent superposition of elastic scatterings with point-like "partons"
 - scale invariant, i.e. independent of resolution ~ q², no natural length scale











Proton structure





Example of generic functional form of proton structure:

$$xf(x) = Ax^{B}(1-x)^{C}(1 + Dx + Ex^{2})$$
Normalisation Behaviour for Behaviour for
$$x \to 0 \qquad x \to 1$$
Klaus Rabbertz Karlsruhe. 26.01.2021
Teilchenphysik I





- The new world average from PDG on $\alpha_s(M_z^2)$ is 0.1179 ± 0.0010
- Elastic scattering of electrons on protons:
 - "Simplest" elastic approximation: Rutherford scattering
 - Has one independent variable, e.g. θ or Q²
 - Mott scattering includes energy transfer from electron to nucleon and spin-1/2
 - Rosenbluth formula introduces internal structure of the proton; electric and magnetic form factors
- Inelastic scattering requires two independent variables, e.g. scaling variable x and momentum transfer squared Q²
- Can be converted to other combination with inelasticity y and hadron final state mass squared W²
- Lorentz-invariant description involves structure functions $F_1(x,Q^2)$ and $F_2(x,Q^2)$ $F_1(x) = \frac{F_2(x)}{2\pi}$
- Depend mostly on x, not Q²: Spin-1/2 partons lead to Callan-Gross relation Klaus Rabbertz Karlsruhe, 26.01.2021 Teilchenphysik I 30