

Exercise sheet 1. Theoretical Nanooptics. WS 2022/2023

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Ivan Fernandez-Corbaton (ivan.fernandez-corbaton@kit.edu)

November 10, 2022

In order for the exercise sheet to count towards obtaining the course credits, you should send your solutions by email (maxim.vavilin@kit.edu) by the 18th of November or hand them right before the tutorial. Make sure that the contents of the scanned/photographed pages are combined in one file.

1 The $\sqrt{2}\mathbf{G}_{\pm} = \mathbf{E} \pm i\mathbf{H}$ fields

1.1 True or false?

State whether the following statements are true or false and write a short reasoning for your answer.

- a) There is no difference in the physical content between Maxwell equations written with \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} , and Maxwell equations written with \mathbf{G}_{\pm} .
- b) There is no obvious advantage of writing Maxwell equations using \mathbf{G}_{\pm} .
- c) In the definition $\mathbf{G}_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) \pm i\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\sqrt{2}}$, it does not matter whether $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are real or complex fields. In both cases the $\mathbf{G}_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ splits the two handedness of the electromagnetic field.

2 One-sided vs. two-sided Fourier transforms

Consider a **real** field $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{r}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \forall (\mathbf{r}, t)$:

- a) Write down the expression for its Fourier transform $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$ using a range of $\omega \in [-\infty, \infty]$.
- b) Does $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$ contain all the information from $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{r}, t)$? Why?
- c) Show that, with such definition of the Fourier transform, the following identity is met $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = [\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{r}, -\omega)]^*$, where $*$ means complex conjugation. Discuss what the identity means regarding the information contained in $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$ for $\omega \in [-\infty, \infty]$.

- d) Write down an inverse Fourier transform that uses $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \in (0, \infty]$ to produce a (\mathbf{r}, t) -dependent field $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{r}, t)$.
- e) Can $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ be real? Why?
- f) Discuss the possible differences between the information contained in $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{r}, t)$
- g) Give at least two reasons why discarding the $\omega = 0$ point is appropriate
- h) In the lectures, we use the one-sided Fourier transform with $\omega \in (0, \infty]$. Can such Fourier transform be used to represent a general (\mathbf{r}, t) -dependent complex field? Why?

3 The helicity of a plane-wave

Consider the expression for a plane-wave of well-defined helicity $\lambda = \pm 1$ and wavevector \mathbf{k} :

$$|\mathbf{k} \lambda\rangle \equiv k \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\lambda \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - ikt) = \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} i \sin \phi - \lambda \cos \phi \cos \theta \\ -i \cos \phi - \lambda \sin \phi \cos \theta \\ \lambda \sin \theta \end{bmatrix} \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - ikt), \quad (1)$$

where $k = \omega = +\sqrt{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}}$, $\phi = \arctan(k_y, k_x)$, $\theta = \arccos(k_z/k)$, $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ is the unit vector in the direction of \mathbf{k} , and the $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\pm(\hat{\mathbf{k}})$ are polarization vectors corresponding to the two handedness.

- a) Write the xyz coordinates of the wavevector as a function of k , θ , and ϕ .
- b) Show that the plane-wave is transverse, that is, that its polarization is perpendicular to its wavevector.
- c) Show that the plane-wave is an eigenstate of the $\frac{\nabla \times}{k}$ operator with eigenvalue λ .