Theoretische Teilchenphysik II

V: Prof. Kirill Melnikov, U: Dr. Ming-Ming Long, U: Dr. Andrey Pikelner

Exercise Sheet 12

WS-2023

Due date: 29.01.24

Non-abelian gauge theory in Coulomb gauge (100 Points)

We know from the discussion in class that β -function is negative in QCD and positive in QED. This means that in QCD(QED) the coupling constant descreases (increases) at short distances. This difference is crucial as it makes the strongly-interacting theory (QCD) perturbative at short distances and allows us e.g. to describe many measurements at high-energy colliders from first principles.

In this exercise we will try to understand where the difference in β -function sings comes from. To this end, it is useful to perform computations in using the Coulomb gauge. This gauge has several advantages and disadvantages. There are no ghosts in this gauge, but calculations are more complicated compared to covariant gauges. Gluon fields are split into Coulomb and transverse (chromomagnetic) and at the leading order we need to consider self-energy diagrams only each with definite screening or anti-screening contribution.

To determine β -functions, we need a relation between bare and renormalized coupling constants. In the Coulomb gauge such a relation emerges naturally if we consider interaction of an infinitely heavy quark Q and an anti-quark \overline{Q} which at the tree level is defined by the single diagram

 $\sim iC_F \frac{g_B^2}{\vec{q}^2}.$ (1)

All UV divergences of these class of diagrams due to the higher order corrections can be absorbed into coupling constant renormalization $g_B \rightarrow Z_g g_R(\mu)$.

Exercise 12.1: (10 points) Derive Coulomb gauge propagators for the vector field. As the reference use QED derivation from lecture 13 of the TTP1 course. Show that gauge field propagator can be split into *Coulomb* and *transverse* parts

Coulomb:
$$a \xrightarrow{q} b = -\frac{i\delta_{ab}}{-\vec{q^2}},$$
 (2)

Transverse:

$$a, i \xrightarrow{q} b, j = -\frac{i\delta_{ab}}{q^2 + i0} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{q_i q_j}{\vec{q}^2} \right).$$
(3)

Exercise 12.2: (20 points) Interaction with static quark field for Coulomb and transverse gluons by the vertices

We know that in the theory with static infinitely heavy quarks Q it's propagator is independent from spatial momentum component. By direct computation in dimensional regularization show that external leg renormalization diagrams (S) and vertex renormalization diagrams (V) are zero and only contribution comes from diagrams with vacuum polarization insertion in Coulomb gluon line(P)

At one-loop order all contributions to potential can be written as full propagator of the coulomb field.

Later we will need following Feynman rules describing interaction of coulomb gluons with quarks and transverse gluon fields:

$$a = -gt^a_{ij}\gamma_0, \tag{6}$$

n

п

$$b \xrightarrow{q} \overbrace{a}^{c,i} = -gf_{abc}(p+q)_i$$
(7)

$$b = -gf_{abc}\delta_{ij}(p+q)_0$$
(8)

Exercise 12.3: (10 points) Using standard QCD propagator for quarks and vertex (6) for Coulomb gluon interaction with quark, calculate divergent part of the diagram shown in Fig. 1, describing quark loop insertion to the gluon propagator. Check that after standard color factors replacement $T_F \rightarrow 1$ result is the same as in QED.



Figure 1: Quark loop correction to the Coulomb gluon propagator.

Hint: derive needed expression from the quarks contribution to the D_{00} part of the standard QCD gluon propagator $D_{\mu\nu}$.

To take into account gluon self-interaction due to the non-abelian nature of QCD we need to consider two diagrams on the Fig. 2.



Figure 2: Non-abelian corrections to the Coulomb gluon propagator with two(left) and one(right) transverse gluons.

Exercise 12.4: (20 points) Contribution of the diagram with two transverse gluon shown on the left part of the Fig. 2 gives the same sign as QED contribution from diagram on the Fig. 1. Using Feynman rule for the vertex (8), calculate divergent part of the diagram. Do all calculations in the rest-frame where $q = (0, \vec{q})$. First do energy integration and from the rest (d - 1) integrations keep only divergent part.

Exercise 12.5: (20 points) To calculate divergent part of the diagram on the right part of the Fig. 2 with a single Coulomb gluon exchange use interaction vertex given by Eq. (7) and show by direct calculation that the sign of the contribution is different compared to the QED type diagram on Fig. 1, which is the direct manifestation of the anti-screening due to the non-abelian nature of QCD. *Hint:* first do energy integration and for remaining (d - 1) momentum integrations use general expression for one-loop massless propagator valid for arbitrary d.

Exercise 12.6: (20 points) Contributions to the potential of two infinitely heavy quarks from diagrams with non-abelian nature calculated in previous exercises have correct qualitative behaviour. There are diagrams responsible for screening and anti-screening effects and the final answer is the result of their competition. However, the contribution of the diagram in Fig.2 is such that we do not reproduce the known result for QCD β -function.

This inconsistency can be traced back to the fact that quantization of QCD in the Coulomb gauge is much more complicated than quantization of QED in the Coulomb gauge. Try to generalize steps described in lecture 13 of the TTP1 course¹ where QED was quantized in the Coulomb gauge, to the case of QCD and identify places where differences emerge.

¹Full text of the lecture attached.

TTP1 Lecture 13



Kirill Melnikov TTP KIT June 23, 2023

13 Quantum electrodynamics

We will start discussing one of the most successful physical theories that is known to us today, the quantum electrodynamics. This is a theory that describes interactions of charged (strictly speaking elementary) particles, such as electrons, muons, τ -leptons etc. with the electromagnetic field. This theory is a prototype of more modern theories such as the theory of strong interactions (Quantum Chromodynamics) and the theory of weak interactions (the Standard Model).

Suppose we focus on a single fermion field (e.g. electron). Then, the Lagrangian of the theory reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi} \left(i\hat{\partial} - m - eA_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} \right) \psi, \qquad (13.1)$$

where *e* is the electron's charge, *m* is the electron's mass, A_{μ} is the vector potential of the electromagnetic field and $F^{\mu\nu}$ is the field-strength tensor

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}. \tag{13.2}$$

Recall that F^{0i} is the *i*-th component of the electric field and $1/2\epsilon_{ijk}F^{jk}$ gives the *i*-th component of the magnetic field.

The Lagrangian in Eq. (13.1) possesses an important feature known as the "gauge symmetry". This feature is very important as its generalizations are used to construct more complex theories which we mentioned at the beginning of this lecture. "Gauge symmetry" means the following. The Lagrangian in Eq. (13.1) is invariant under the following transformation of the fermion field

$$\psi(x) = e^{-i\theta}\psi'(x), \quad \bar{\psi}(x) = \bar{\psi}'(x)e^{i\theta}, \quad (13.3)$$

where θ is an arbitrary constant. This symmetry leads to the conservation of the fermion current $J^{\mu}(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\psi(x)$ which then implies conservation of the electric charge

Interestingly, the Lagrangian in Eq. (13.1) is invariant under a *stronger* version of the above transformation. Indeed, let us make the parameter θ in Eq. (13.3) an x-dependent function $\theta(x)$. Then

$$\psi(x) = e^{-i\theta(x)}\psi'(x), \quad \bar{\psi}(x) = \bar{\psi}'(x)e^{i\theta(x)}.$$
(13.4)

Substituting these expressions into the fermion part of the Lagrangian we find

$$\bar{\psi}\left(i\hat{\partial}-m-eA_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}\right)\psi=\bar{\psi}'\left(i\hat{\partial}-m-e(A_{\mu}-e^{-1}\partial_{\mu}\theta(x))\gamma^{\mu}\right)\psi'.$$
 (13.5)

We observe that we can remove the new term by redefining the vector potential A_{μ} . We write

$$A_{\mu} = A'_{\mu} + e^{-1} \partial_{\mu} \theta(x).$$
 (13.6)

Then,

$$\bar{\psi}\left(i\hat{\partial} - m - eA_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}\right)\psi = \bar{\psi}'\left(i\hat{\partial} - m - eA'_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}\right)\psi'.$$
(13.7)

The field-stress tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ is invariant under the transformation in Eq. (13.6) and we find

$$L(\bar{\psi}, \psi, A^{\mu}) = L(\bar{\psi}', \psi', {A'}^{\mu}).$$
(13.8)

Although we refer to this feature as "gauge symmetry", this is really not a symmetry in a sense that there are no conserved quantities that are associated with it. The "gauge symmetry" is a redundancy since, as it turns out, we employ too many degrees of freedom to describe physics that does not need all of them. We can see this already from Eq. (13.6) which basically means that by selecting functions θ with particular properties, we can impose certain conditions on the field A^{μ} that we want to work with. Obviously, this reduces the number of independent function that we need from four (i.e. four components of the vector $A^{\mu}(x)$) to a smaller number that we are about to find.

The overabundance of degrees of freedom in the Lagrangian Eq. (13.1) has consequences for quantization of QED that we will now discuss. Note that one can quantize QED in many different ways but the discussion below exposes physics behind complexities of QED quantization.

So, let us quantize QED. We already know how to quantize the Dirac field. We also identify the interaction term with $A_{\mu}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$. Hence, it remains to understand how to quantize the electromagnetic field. Although this can be done for a free field, for reasons that will become clear shortly, it is more convenient to start with the Lagrangian that includes the interaction term

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - e A_{\mu} J^{\mu}, \qquad (13.9)$$

where J^{μ} is the electron current.

The vector potential A^{μ} has four components; if we can deal with them as if they are four independent scalar fields, quantization of QED would be straightforward. Let us try to do that. To this end, we need to write the Lagrangian separating A^{μ} and $\partial_0 A^{\mu}$. Upon doing that, we find

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\partial_i A^0 + \partial_0 A^i) (\partial_i A^0 + \partial_0 A^i) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ij} (\partial_i A^j - \partial_j A^i)^2 - A_{\mu} J^{\mu}.$$
(13.10)

Although the above Lagrangian does not look too remarkable, it contains very important information, namely, that he canonical momentum of the field A^0 that we will refer to as π_0 vanishes. Indeed,

$$\pi_0 = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_0 \mathcal{A}^0} = 0, \qquad (13.11)$$

since *L* does not depend on $\partial_0 A^0$. We can compute three other canonical momenta without a problem. We find

$$\pi_i = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_0 A^i} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i A^0 + \partial_0 A^i).$$
(13.12)

The fact that π_0 vanishes has important quantities for the quantization since we cannot require that commutator of π_0 with A^0 is canonical. To see how to get around this problem, we compute the Hamiltonian (density) and find

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \pi_{i} \partial_{0} A^{i} - L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \pi_{i}^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \pi_{i} \partial_{i} A^{0} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} (\partial_{i} A_{j} - \partial_{j} A_{i})^{2} + e(A_{0} J_{0} - \vec{A} \vec{J}).$$
(13.13)

The classical Hamilton equation of motion is

$$\partial_0 \pi_0 = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta A_0} = -\partial_i \pi_i - e J^0.$$
(13.14)

Under normal circumstances, this would be a dynamical equation. However, since $\pi_0 = 0$, we find

$$\partial_i \pi_i = -e J^0. \tag{13.15}$$

This implies that not only $\pi_0 = 0$ but also that three canonical momenta π_i are not independent and, therefore cannot be independently quantized. This is the central issue with applying standard quantization rules to QED.

To overcome this problem, it is convenient to separate $\vec{\pi}$ into the transversal and the longitudinal components. We write

$$\vec{\pi} = \vec{\rho} + \vec{\nabla}\phi, \qquad (13.16)$$

where \vec{p} is chosen such that $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{p} = 0$. Hence, the constraint Eq. (13.14) becomes

$$\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi = -eJ^0. \tag{13.17}$$

This equation is the Poisson equation familiar from electrodynamics. It can be solved explicitly and we find

$$\phi(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{e}{4\pi} \int d^3 \vec{y} \, \frac{J^0(t, \vec{y})}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|}.$$
(13.18)

To establish the relation between the auxiliary field ϕ and the potential A^{μ} , it is convenient to "fix the gauge", i.e. to choose function $\theta(x)$ such that A^{μ} satisfies certain constraints, c.f. Eq. (13.6). One of the things that one can require is that $\nabla \cdot \vec{A}(x) = 0$ for all x. Then, since $\pi_i = \partial_0 A^i + \partial_i A^0$,

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\pi} = \vec{\nabla}^2 A^0 = \vec{\nabla}^2 \phi. \tag{13.19}$$

Hence, we can identify ϕ with A^0 . Therefore,

$$A^{0} = \frac{e}{4\pi} \int d^{3}\vec{y} \, \frac{J^{0}(t,\vec{y})}{|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}.$$
 (13.20)

The Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = \int d^{3}\vec{x} \left[\frac{1}{2} \vec{p}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\partial_{i}A_{j} - \partial_{j}A_{i})^{2} - \vec{A} \cdot \vec{J} \right] + \frac{e^{2}}{8\pi} \int d^{3}\vec{x} \int d^{3}\vec{y} \frac{J^{0}(t,\vec{x})J^{0}(t,\vec{y})}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|}.$$
(13.21)

This Hamiltonian looks peculiar, especially because of the last term, but it does not contain redundant degrees of freedom. However, this feature is not

explicit as we still have three \vec{A} fields and three canonical momenta \vec{p} but they are not independent because they are transversal

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0, \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{p} = 0. \tag{13.22}$$

Hence, both of these quantities are, effectively two-dimensional vectors.

Suppose we attempt to quantize the theory. Then in analogy with the quantization of the scalar field we write

$$\vec{A}(t,x) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}\sqrt{2E_{k}}} \left(\vec{\epsilon}_{\lambda,\vec{k}}a_{\lambda,\vec{k}}e^{-ik_{\mu}x^{\mu}} + \vec{\epsilon}_{\lambda,\vec{k}}^{*}a_{\lambda,\vec{k}}^{+}e^{ik_{\mu}x^{\mu}}\right), \quad (13.23)$$

where $E_k = |\vec{k}|$ because photons are massless. Also, ϵ_{λ} are basis vectors and it is important that we sum over two λ 's. This is manifestation of the fact that \vec{A} is a two-dimensional vector given the transversality constraint. In momentum space, $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0$ implies that $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{\lambda} = 0$ so that vector $\vec{A}(\vec{k})$ exists in a two-dimensional plane which is orthogonal to \vec{k} . and vectors $\epsilon_{\lambda,\vec{k}}$ form an orthonormal vector basis in this plane Thus, the sum of polarizations reads

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \epsilon_{\lambda,\vec{k}}^{i,*} \epsilon_{\lambda,\vec{k}}^{j} = \delta^{ij} - \frac{\vec{k}^{i} \vec{k}^{j}}{\vec{k}^{2}}.$$
(13.24)

Next, we need the canonical momentum \vec{p} . Using its definition and the fact that in the chosen (Coulomb) gauge $A_0 = \phi$, we find

$$\vec{p} = \vec{\pi} - \vec{\nabla}\phi = \vec{\pi} - \vec{\nabla}A^0 = \partial_0 \vec{A}.$$
(13.25)

Computing the derivative, we find

$$\vec{p}(t,\vec{x}) = -i\sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}\sqrt{2E_{k}}} E_{k}\left(\vec{\epsilon}_{\lambda,\vec{k}}a_{\lambda,\vec{k}}e^{-ik_{\mu}x^{\mu}} - \vec{\epsilon}_{\lambda,\vec{k}}^{*}a_{\lambda,\vec{k}}^{+}e^{ik_{\mu}x^{\mu}}\right).$$
(13.26)

We will assume the standard commutation relation for creation and annihilation operators

$$[a_{\lambda_1,\vec{k}_1}, a^+_{\lambda_2,\vec{k}_2}] = \delta_{\lambda_1\lambda_2} (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_2).$$
(13.27)

It is straightforward to compute the commutation relation of \vec{p} and \vec{A} . We find

$$[\vec{p}_{i}(t,\vec{x}),\vec{A}_{j}(t,\vec{y})] = -i \int \frac{d^{3}\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{i\vec{k}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})} \sum_{\lambda} \vec{\epsilon}_{\lambda\vec{k}}^{*} \vec{\epsilon}_{\lambda\vec{k}}$$

$$= -i \int \frac{d^{3}\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{i\vec{k}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\vec{k}_{i}\vec{k}_{j}}{\vec{k}^{2}}\right).$$
(13.28)

Performing Fourier transform, we find

$$[\vec{p}_{i}(t,\vec{x}),\vec{A}_{j}(t,\vec{y})] = -i\left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\nabla^{i}\nabla^{j}}{\vec{\nabla}^{2}}\right)\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),$$
(13.29)

which is the correct quantization condition given the constraints $\vec{\nabla}_i \vec{p}_i = \vec{\nabla}_i \vec{A}_i = 0.$

Having quantized QED, we can now develop a framework to compute arbitrary Green's functions and scattering amplitudes in this theory. Indeed, operator $a^+_{\lambda,\vec{k}}$ that appears in the expression for \vec{A} in Eq. (13.23) creates a *photon* with momentum \vec{k} and polarization vector $\epsilon_{\lambda,\vec{k}}$. There is a propagator for the photon field that one can compute directly from Eq. (13.23)

$$\langle 0|TA^{i}(x)A^{j}(y)|0\rangle = \int \frac{d^{4}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{i}{p^{2} + i0} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\vec{p}^{i}\vec{p}^{j}}{\vec{p}^{2}}\right) e^{-ik(x-y)}.$$
 (13.30)

For computing scattering amplitudes one needs a relation between creation and annihilation operators and the fields \vec{A} . One can easily show that e.g.

$$i \int d^4 x e^{i\rho_{\mu}x^{\mu}} \epsilon^*_{\lambda,\vec{p}} \partial^2 \vec{A}(x) = \sqrt{2E_{\vec{p}}} \left(a_{\lambda,\vec{p}}(T) - a_{\lambda,\vec{p}}(-T) \right).$$
(13.31)

Hence, a final state photon with momentum \vec{p} is described by a complexconjugate polarization vector $\vec{\epsilon}_{\lambda,\vec{p}}$ and, similarly, a photon in the initial state with momentum \vec{p} described by a polarization vector $\epsilon_{\lambda,\vec{p}}$; both of these vectors have to be multiplied into an amputated Green's function.

The interaction term in the Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\rm int} = -e\vec{A}\cdot\vec{J} + \frac{e^2}{8\pi}\int d^3\vec{x} \int d^3\vec{y} \ \frac{J^0(t,\vec{x})J^0(t,\vec{y})}{|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}.$$
 (13.32)

Using this interaction Hamiltonian, one can compute the interaction vertices between electrons and photons and use them to construct a perturbative expansion of the Green's functions.

Consider now the scattering of four fermions with momenta $e(p_1) + e(p_2) \rightarrow e(p_3) + e(p_4)$. There are two two distinct contributions to this amplitude – one, where the scattering occurs because a "photon" is exchanged between the two lines and another one where the second term in the interaction Hamiltonian contributes. We find

$$i\mathcal{M}_{fi} = \frac{i(-ie)^2 \left(\bar{u}(p_3)\gamma^0 u(p_1)\right) \left(\bar{u}(p_4)\gamma^0 u(p_2)\right)}{\vec{k}^2} + \frac{i(-ie)^2 \left(\bar{u}(p_3)\gamma^i u(p_1)\right) \left(\bar{u}(p_4)\gamma^j u(p_2)\right)}{k^2 + i0} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\vec{k}_k \vec{k}_j}{\vec{k}^2}\right) - (3 \leftrightarrow 4).$$
(13.33)

We can formally write this result in a covariant form by introducing a propagator

$$i\mathcal{M}_{fi} = (-ie)^2 J_{31}^{\mu} J_{42}^{\mu} \bar{u}(p_4) \gamma^{\nu}(p_2) D_{\mu\nu}(k) - (3 \leftrightarrow 4),$$
 (13.34)

where

$$J_{ab}^{\mu} = \bar{u}(p_a)\gamma^{\mu}u(p_b), \qquad (13.35)$$

and

$$D^{\mu\nu}(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{\vec{k}^2}, & \mu = 0, \nu = 0, \\ 0, & \mu = 0, \nu = 1, 2, 3 \\ 0, & \nu = 0, \mu = 1, 2, 3 \\ \frac{i}{\vec{k}^2} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\vec{k}_k \vec{k}_j}{\vec{k}^2} \right), & \nu = 1, 2, 3, \mu = 1, 2, 3 \end{cases}$$
(13.36)

An important feature of the current J^{μ}_{ab} is that it is conserved. The momentum-space version of that is

$$J^{\mu}_{ab}k_{\mu} = 0. \tag{13.37}$$

We can check this for our currents. Take J^{μ}_{31} for definiteness. Then

$$J_{31}^{\mu}k_{\mu} = \bar{u}(p_3)\hat{k}u(p_1) = \bar{u}(p_3)\left(\hat{p}_3 - \hat{p}_1\right)u(p_1) = \bar{u}(p_3)(m-m)u(p_1) = 0,$$
(13.38)

where we have used the Dirac equation for the spinors. It follows that if we modify the propagator $D^{\mu\nu}$ in the following way

$$D_{\mu\nu} \to D_{\mu\nu} + k_{\mu}\chi_{\nu} + k_{\nu}\chi_{\mu} + f k_{\mu}k_{\nu},$$
 (13.39)

where χ^{μ} and f are arbitrary functions of k since scattering amplitudes will not change. We will make use of this freedom to rewrite the Coulomb-gauge propagator in a covariant form.

To this end, we introduce a time-like four-vector $t^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$. Then

$$\vec{k}^2 = (tk)^2 - k^2, \tag{13.40}$$

and we can also write

$$(0, \vec{k}) = k^{\mu} - t^{\mu}(tk).$$
(13.41)

Then

$$\delta^{ij} - \frac{\vec{k}^{i}\vec{k}^{j}}{\vec{k}^{2}} \to -g^{\mu\nu} + t^{\mu}t^{\nu} - \frac{(k^{\mu} - (tk)t^{\mu})(k^{\nu} - (tk)t^{\nu})}{(tk)^{2} - k^{2}}$$

$$= -g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{k^{\mu}k^{\nu}}{k^{2} - (tk)^{2}} + \frac{k^{2}}{k^{2} - (tk)^{2}}t^{\mu}t^{\nu} - \frac{(tk)(t^{\mu}k^{\nu} + t^{\nu}k^{\mu})}{k^{2} - (tk)^{2}}.$$
(13.42)

Hence, we find

$$D^{\mu\nu} = \frac{it^{\mu}t^{\nu}}{(tk)^{2} - k^{2}} + \frac{i}{k^{2}} \left(-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{k^{\mu}k^{\nu}}{k^{2} - (tk)^{2}} + \frac{k^{2}}{k^{2} - (tk)^{2}}t^{\mu}t^{\nu} - \frac{(tk)(t^{\mu}k^{\nu} + t^{\nu}k^{\mu})}{k^{2} - (tk)^{2}} \right)$$
(13.43)
$$= \frac{-ig^{\mu\nu}}{k^{2}} + \text{terms with either } k^{\mu} \text{ or } k^{\nu}.$$

Thanks to the current conservation, we can drop k-dependent terms and use

$$\mu \sim \nu = D^{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{-ig^{\mu\nu}}{k^2},$$
 (13.44)

for the photon propagator in momentum space. The gauge choice that leads to this propagator is known as "Feynman gauge".

Feynman rules in the Feynman gauge become covariant. Since in this gauge there is no difference between the field and true propagating photons, we can describe photon electron interactions with a vertex

and the overall energy-momentum conserving δ -function is not shown.

Finally, we note that we can replace the sum over physical polarizations

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{2} \epsilon_{\lambda}^{*,\mu} \epsilon_{\lambda}^{\nu} \tag{13.46}$$

with $-g^{\mu\nu}$ for *external* photons. The reason is the same as before. In principle, the sum over physical polarizations gives $\rho_{ij}(k)$. However, according to Eq. (13.42), for real $(k^2 = 0)$ photons

$$\rho^{ij} = -g^{\mu\nu} + +\text{terms with either } k^{\mu} \text{ or } k^{\nu}.$$
(13.47)

Thanks to current conservation all the terms with k^{μ} can be dropped and $-g^{\mu\nu}$ can be used instead of the sum over physical polarizations.